

RESOLUTION NO. 6424

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GARDENA, CALIFORNIA, SETTING FORTH FINDINGS FOR REQUIRED AMENDMENTS TO THE 2019 CALIFORNIA STATE BUILDING CODE RELATIVE TO LOCAL CLIMATIC, TOPOGRAPHIC AND GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

WHEREAS, California Health & Safety Code section 18901 et seq. provides that the Building Standards Commission shall adopt a California Building Standards Code ("CBSC") based on specified uniform codes with input from various State Departments; and

WHEREAS, the 2019 CBSC has been adopted and is codified in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations; and

WHEREAS, California Health & Safety Code Section 17922 provides that the Department of Housing and Community Development is to adopt the CBSC and other regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Housing and Community Development has adopted the most recent version of the CBSC as well as other regulations which are found in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations; and

WHEREAS, California Health & Safety Code Section 17958.5 provides that a city may make changes in the provisions adopted pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 17922 and published in the CBSC or other regulations upon specified findings; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 17958 provides that a local jurisdiction shall adopt Ordinances and regulations imposing the same or modified or changed requirements as are contained in the regulations adopted by the State pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 17922; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7 requires that the City Council, before making any modifications or changes to the CBSC, shall make an express finding that such changes or modifications are reasonably necessary because of local climatic, geologic, or topographic conditions; and

WHEREAS, The ICC Los Angeles Basin Chapter's Administration Committee and Structural Committee have recommended that Southern California cities adopt amendments to the 2019 CBSC to:

- A. Protect each community within the greater Los Angeles region from a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes and/or climate systems capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disaster, and
- B. To ensure and encourage energy efficiency and sustainable practices are incorporated into building

WHEREAS, the Building Official has recommended that many of the modifications proposed by the International Conference of Building Officials Los Angeles Basin Chapter's Structural Committee and Green Building Standards Committee be made to the CBSC because they are reasonably necessary due to address local conditions in the City of Gardena and has further advised that the remainder of said changes and modifications are of an administrative or procedural nature, or concern themselves with subjects not covered by the CBSC or are reasonably necessary to safeguard life and property within the City of Gardena.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF GARDENA, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The provisions of Ordinance No. 1815 relating to the CBSC are restated below with the rationale and findings for each change included in italics underneath the change to the California Building Code Standards. Any change for which there is no rationale or findings are amendments that are either administrative or procedural in nature or concern themselves with subjects not covered in the California Building Standards Code.

Chapter 15.04 General Building Provisions

15.04.010 Adoption of the California Building Standards Code

- A. Subject to the amendments and changes set forth herein, the following parts of the 2019 California Building Standards Code as set forth in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations are adopted by reference in their entirety:
 1. Part 1 – California Building Standards Administrative Code.
 2. Part 2 – California Building Code and the following division and appendices:
 - a. Division II, Scope and Administration to the California Building Code;
 - b. Appendix F, Rodent-proofing;
 - c. Appendix H, Signs;
 - d. Appendix I, Patio Covers;
 - e. Appendix J, Grading.
 3. Part 2.5 – California Residential Building Code and the following division and appendices:
 - a. Division II, Scope and Administration to the California Residential Building Code;
 - b. Appendix H, Patio Covers;
 - c. Appendix J, Existing Buildings and Structures;

- d. Appendix K, Sound Transmission;
 - e. Appendix O, Automatic Vehicular Gate;
 - f. Appendix V, Swimming Pool Safety Act.
- 4. Part 3 – California Electrical Code, In its entirety.
 - 5. Part 4 – California Mechanical Code and the following appendix:
 - a. Appendix B, Procedures to be followed to place Gas Equipment in Operation.
 - 6. Part 5 – California Plumbing Code and the following appendices:
 - a. Appendix A, Recommended Rules for the Sizing of Water Piping System;
 - b. Appendix B, Explanatory Notes on Combination Waste and Vent Systems
 - c. Appendix D, Sizing of Storm Water Drainage Systems;
 - d. Appendix G, Sizing of Vent System;
 - e. Appendix I, Installation Standard for PEX Tubing Systems for Hot and Cold Water Distribution;
 - f. Appendix J, Combination of Indoor and Outdoor Combustion; and Ventilation Opening Design.
 - 7. Part 6 – California Energy Code, including all appendices.
 - 8. Part 8 – California Historical Building Code, as adopted by the State of California, including all appendices.
 - 9. Part 2 – California Existing Building Code and the following appendix:
 - a. Appendix A, Guidelines For Retrofit Of Existing Buildings
 - i. Chapter A1, Seismic Strengthening Provisions For Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Wall Buildings;
 - ii. Chapter A2, Earthquake Hazard Reduction In Existing Concrete And Reinforced Masonry Wall Buildings With Flexible Diaphragms;
 - iii. Chapter A3, Prescriptive Provisions For Seismic Strengthening Of Cripple Walls And Sill Plate Anchorage Of Light Wood Framed Buildings; and
 - iv. Chapter A5, Reference Standards.
 - 10. Part 11 – California Green Building Standards Code ("Cal Green").
- B. **The most recent version of the Los Angeles County Fire Code**, as set forth in Section 8.08.020, shall be part of the building regulations of the city. In cases of conflict between any provision between the Los Angeles County Fire Code and the other provisions of the building regulations of the city of Gardena, the most restrictive provision shall prevail.

15.04.020 Fees

The City shall charge fees for all permits, plan checks, inspections and other services performed by the City relating to any portion of the Building Regulations of the City or any other provision of Title 15 of the Gardena Municipal Code in an amount set by resolution of the City Council.

15.04.030 Amendment of Part 2, California Building Code

- A. Section 105.2 of the California Building Code relating to Building exemptions is amended to read as follows; the exemptions for electrical, gas, mechanical and plumbing remain the same:

105.2 Work exempt from permit. Exemptions from permit requirements of this code shall not be deemed to grant authorization for any work to be done in any manner in violation of the provisions of this code or any other laws or ordinances of this jurisdiction. Permits shall not be required for the following:

Building:

1. One-story Detached Accessory Structures, used as tool and storage sheds, playhouses and similar uses provided the floor area does not exceed 120 square feet, with three feet clear from a building or property line and conforming to the zoning requirements of the Gardena Municipal Code.
2. [deleted].
3. [deleted].
4. [deleted].
5. Water tanks supported directly on grade if the capacity is not greater than 5,000 gallons (18,925 L) and the ratio of height to diameter or width is not greater than 2:1.
6. Sidewalks and driveways not more than 30 inches (762) mm above adjacent grade, and not over any basement or story below and are not part of an accessible route.
7. Painting, papering, floor and wall tiling – excluding showers and wet areas, carpeting, cabinets, counter tops not containing plumbing or electrical fixtures, and similar finish work.
8. Temporary motion picture, television, and theater stage sets and scenery.

9. Prefabricated swimming pools accessory to a Group R-3 occupancy that are less than 18 inches deep, do not exceed 5,000 gallons and are installed entirely above ground.
10. Shade cloth structures constructed for nursery or agricultural purposes, and not including service systems.
11. Swings and other playground equipment accessory to detached one- and two-family dwellings.
12. Window awnings in Group R-3 or Group U occupancies, supported by an exterior wall that do not project more than 54 inches from the exterior wall and do not require additional support.
13. Nonfixed and Movable fixtures, cases, racks, counters, and partitions not over five feet nine inches (1753 mm) in height.
14. Decks not over 30 inches above surrounding grade or finishes, not attached to a structure, or serving any part of the means of egress.

B. Section 105.5 is amended to read as follows:

105.5 Expiration. Every permit issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such permit is commenced within 480 360 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such permit is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The building official is authorized to grant one extension of time for period of not more than 180 days. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Extensions shall only be granted prior to the expiration of a permit.

C. Section 107.5.1 relating to Retention of Construction Documents is added to read as follows:

107.5.1 Retention of Construction Documents. Per Building Standards Law, Health and Safety Code Sections 19850 and 19851 the City is required to retain a copy of these plans. The light or dark graphics and/or text will not allow for scanning. Text shall be 7/64", or 10 point, minimum in height and legible.

D. Table 1505.1 of Chapter 15 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

TABLE 1505.1^a
MINIMUM ROOF COVERING
CLASSIFICATION FOR TYPES OF CONSTRUCTION

IA	IB	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IV	VA	VB
B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

RATIONALE:

Requirements for roofing materials to have a Class-B fire rating for both new roof and re-roofing applications shall be Class-B rating This is consistent with the recommendations of the Los Angeles County Fire Department, Cal Fire and The Insurance Institute the on roofing fire safety. Roofs with a Class-B fire rating have proven more effective in resisting ignition from flying embers than a "C" rating.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated and dense industrial area. The high density can allow fire to spread quickly from roof to roof. Gardena is located in a special wind zone as defined by the California Building Code. The jurisdiction of Gardena is located in a semi-arid Mediterranean type climate. It annually experiences extended periods of high temperatures with little or no precipitation. Hot, dry (Santa Ana) winds, which may reach speeds of 110 M.P.H. or greater.

E. Section 1505.1.3 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1505.1.3. Roof coverings within all other areas. The entire roof covering of every existing structure where more than 50 percent of the total roof is replaced within any one-year period, the entire roof covering of every new structure and any roof covering applied in the alteration, repair or replacement of the roof of every existing structure shall be a fire-retardant roof covering that is at least a Class G "B" Fire Rated assembly.

RATIONALE:

Requirements for roofing materials to have a Class-B fire rating for both new roof and re-roofing applications shall be Class-B rating This is consistent with the recommendations of the Los Angeles County Fire Department, Cal Fire and The Insurance Institute the on roofing fire safety. Roofs with a Class-B fire rating have proven more effective in resisting ignition from flying embers than a "C" rating.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated and dense industrial area. The high density can allow fire to spread quickly from roof to roof. Gardena is located in a special wind zone as defined by the California Building Code. The jurisdiction of Gardena is located in a semi-arid Mediterranean type climate. It annually experiences extended periods of high temperatures with little or no precipitation. Hot, dry (Santa Ana) winds, which may reach speeds of 110 M.P.H. or greater.

F. Section 1507.3.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1507.3.1 Deck requirements. Concrete and clay tile shall be installed only over solid sheathing or spaced structural sheathing boards.

~~—Exception: Spaced lumber shall be permitted in Seismic Design Categories A, B, and C.~~

RATIONALE:

Section 1507.3.1 is amended to require concrete and clay tiles to be installed only over solid sheathing. The change is necessary because there were numerous observations of tile roofs pulling away from wood framed buildings following the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. The SEAOSC/LA City Post Northridge Earthquake committee findings indicated significant problems with tile roofs was due to inadequate design and/or construction. Therefore, the amendment is needed to minimize such occurrences in the event of future significant earthquakes.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, the 1987 Whittier Narrows Earthquake, the 1971 San Fernando Earthquake and the 1933 Long Beach Earthquake. This amendment will reduce the failure of concrete and clay tile roofs during a significant earthquake and is in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F.

G. The following sections are added to Chapter 16 of the California Building Code to read as follows:

1613.5 Amendments to ASCE 7. The provisions of Section 1613.5 shall be permitted as an amendment to the relevant provisions of ASCE 7.

1613.5.1 Values for vertical combinations. Modify ASCE 7 Section 12.2.3.1 Exception 3 as follows:

3. Detached one- and two-family dwellings up to two stories in height of light frame construction.

RATIONALE:

Observed damages to one- and two-family dwellings of light frame construction after the Northridge Earthquake may have been partially attributed to vertical irregularities common to this type of occupancy and construction. In an effort to improve quality of construction and incorporate lesson learned from studies after the Northridge Earthquake, the proposed modification to ASCE 7-16 Section 12.2.3.1 Exception 3 by limiting the number of stories and height of the structure to two stories will significantly minimize the impact of vertical irregularities and concentration of inelastic behavior from mixed

structural systems. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to limit mixed structural system to two stories is intended to improve quality of construction by reducing potential damages that may result from vertical irregularities of the structural system in buildings subject to high seismic load and therefore, need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

1613.5.2 Wood diaphragms. Modify ASCE 7 Section 12.11.2.2.3 as follows:

12.11.2.2.3 Wood diaphragms. The anchorage of concrete or masonry structural walls to wood diaphragms shall be in accordance with AWC SDPWS 4.1.5.1 and this section. Continuous ties required by this section shall be in addition to the diaphragm sheathing. Anchorage shall not be accomplished by use of toenails or nails subject to withdrawal, nor shall wood ledgers or framing be used in cross-grain bending or cross-grain tension. The diaphragm sheathing shall not be considered effective for providing the ties or struts required by this section

For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F, wood diaphragms supporting concrete or masonry walls shall comply with the following:

1. The spacing of continuous ties shall not exceed 40 feet. Added chords of diaphragms may be used to form subdiaphragms to transmit the anchorage forces to the main continuous crossties.
2. The maximum diaphragm shear used to determine the depth of the subdiaphragm shall not exceed 75% of the maximum diaphragm shear.

RATIONALE:

A joint Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC), Los Angeles County and Los Angeles City Task Force investigated the performance of concrete and masonry construction with flexible wood diaphragm failures after the Northridge earthquake. It was concluded at that time that continuous ties are needed at specified spacing to control cross grain tension in the interior of the diaphragm. Additionally, there was a need to limit subdiaphragm allowable shear loads to control combined orthogonal stresses within the diaphragm. Recognizing the importance and need to continue the recommendation made by the task force while taking into consideration the improve performances and standards for diaphragm construction today. This proposal increases

the continuous tie spacing limit to 40 ft in lieu of 25 ft and to use 75% of the allowable code diaphragm shear to determine the depth of the sub-diaphragm in lieu of the 300 plf and is deemed appropriate and acceptable. Due to the frequency of this type of failure during the past significant earthquakes, various jurisdictions within the Los Angeles region have taken this additional step to prevent roof or floor diaphragms from pulling away from concrete or masonry walls. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require special anchorage of the diaphragm to the wall and limit the allowable shear will address special needs for concrete and masonry construction with flexible wood diaphragm and therefore, need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

1613.5.3 Structural separation. Modify ASCE 7 Section 12.12.3 Equation 12.12-1 as follows:

$$\delta_M = \frac{C_d \delta_{max}}{I_e} \quad (12.12-1)$$

RATIONALE:

The inclusion of the importance factor in this equation has the unintended consequence of reducing the minimum seismic separation distance for important facilities such as hospitals, schools, police and fire stations from adjoining structures. The proposal to omit the importance factor from Equation 12.12-1 will ensure that a safe seismic separation distance is provided. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, the 1987 Whittier Narrows Earthquake, the 1971 San Fernando Earthquake and the 1933 Long Beach Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to omit the importance factor in the equation ensures that a safe seismic separation distance is maintained for important facilities from adjoining structures and therefore, need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

1613.7 Suspended ceilings. Minimum design and installation standards for suspended ceilings shall be determined in accordance with the requirements of Section 2506.2.1 of this Code and this section.

1613.7.1 Scope. This part contains special requirements for suspended ceilings and lighting systems. Provisions of Section 13.5.6 of ASCE 7 shall apply except as modified herein.

1613.7.2 General. The suspended ceilings and lighting systems shall be limited to 6 feet (1828 mm) below the structural deck unless the lateral bracing is designed by a licensed engineer or architect.

1613.7.3 Sprinkler heads. All sprinkler heads (drops) except fire-resistance-rated floor/ceiling or roof/ceiling assemblies, shall be designed to allow for free movement of the sprinkler pipes with oversize rings, sleeves or adaptors through the ceiling tile. Sprinkler heads and other penetrations shall have a 2 inch (50mm) oversize ring, sleeve, or adapter through the ceiling tile to allow for free movement of at least 1 inch (25mm) in all horizontal directions. Alternatively, a swing joint that can accommodate 1 inch (25 mm) of ceiling movement in all horizontal directions is permitted to be provided at the top of the sprinkler head extension.

Sprinkler heads penetrating fire-resistance-rated floor/ceiling or roof/ceiling assemblies shall comply with Section 714 of this Code.

1613.7.4 Special requirements for means of egress. Suspended ceiling assemblies located along means of egress serving an occupant load of 30 or more shall comply with the following provisions.

1613.7.4.1 General. Ceiling suspension systems shall be connected and braced with vertical hangers attached directly to the structural deck along the means of egress serving an occupant load of 30 or more and at lobbies accessory to Group A Occupancies. Spacing of vertical hangers shall not exceed 2 feet (610 mm) on center along the entire length of the suspended ceiling assembly located along the means of egress or at the lobby.

1613.7.4.2 Assembly device. All lay-in panels shall be secured to the suspension ceiling assembly with two hold-down clips minimum for each tile within a 4-foot (1219 mm) radius of the exit lights and exit signs.

1613.7.4.3 Emergency systems. Independent supports and braces shall be provided for light fixtures required for exit illumination. Power supply for exit illumination shall comply with the requirements of Section 1008.3 of this Code.

1613.7.4.4 Supports for appendage. Separate support from the structural deck shall be provided for all appendages such as light fixtures, air diffusers, exit signs, and similar elements.

RATIONALE:

The California Building Code has little to no information regarding the safe design and construction requirements for ceiling suspension systems subject to seismic loads. It is through the experience of prior earthquakes, such as the Northridge Earthquake, that this amendment is proposed to minimize the amount of bodily and building damage within the spaces in which this type of ceiling will be installed. This proposed amendment complements ASCE 7-16 Chapter 13 Section 13.5.6.2.2 and the cited reference to ASTM E580. The amended requirements retained herein are a continuation of portions of an amendment adopted during the previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification requiring safe design and construction requirements for ceiling suspension systems to resist seismic loads is intended to minimize the amount of damage within a building and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

H. Section 1704.6 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1704.6 Structural observations. Where required by the provisions of Section 1704.6.1, 1704.6.2 or 1704.6.3, the owner or the owner's authorized agent shall employ a registered design professional, or his/her designee as a structural observer, to perform structural observations. Structural observation does not include or waive the responsibility for the inspections in Section 110 or the special inspections in Section 1705 or other sections of this code. The structural observer shall be one of the following individuals:

1. The registered design professional responsible for the structural design, or
2. A registered design professional designated by the registered design professional responsible for the structural design.

Prior to the commencement of observations, the structural observer shall submit to the building official a written statement identifying the frequency and extent of structural observations.

~~— At the conclusion of the work included in the permit, the structural observer shall submit to the building official a written statement that the site visits have been made and identify any reported deficiencies that, to the best of the structural observer's knowledge, have not been resolved.~~

The owner or owner's authorized agent shall coordinate and call a preconstruction meeting between the structural observer, contractors, affected subcontractors and special inspectors. The structural observer shall preside over the meeting. The purpose of the meeting shall be to identify the major structural elements and connections that affect the vertical and lateral load resisting systems of the structure and to review scheduling of the required observations. A record of the meeting shall be included in the report submitted to the building official.

Observed deficiencies shall be reported in writing to the owner or owner's authorized agent, special inspector, contractor and the building official. Upon the form prescribed by the building official, the structural observer shall submit to the building official a written statement at each significant construction stage stating that the site visits have been made and identifying any reported deficiencies which, to the best of the structural observer's knowledge, have not been resolved. A final report by the structural observer which states that all observed deficiencies have been resolved is required before acceptance of the work by the building official.

RATIONALE:

The language in Section 1704.6 of the California Building Code permits the owner to employ any registered design professional to perform structural observations with minimum guideline. However, it is important to recognize that the registered design professional responsible for the structural design has thorough knowledge of the building he/she designed. By requiring the registered design professional responsible for the structural design or their designee who were involved with the design to observe the construction, the quality of the observation for major structural elements and connections that affect the vertical and lateral load resisting systems of the structure will greatly be increased. Additional requirements are provided to help clarify the role and duties of the structural observer and the method of reporting and correcting observed deficiencies to the building official. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require the registered design professional in responsible charge for the structural design to observe the construction will help ensure acceptable standards of workmanship is provided and to improve the quality of the observation and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

I. Section 1704.6.2 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1704.6.2 Structural observations for seismic resistance. Structural observations shall be provided for those structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. The structure is classified as Risk Category III or IV.
2. The structure ~~is assigned to Seismic Design Category E,~~ is classified as Risk Category I or II, and ~~is greater than two stories above the grade plane~~ a lateral design is required for the structure or portion thereof.

Exception: One-story wood framed Group R-3 and Group U Occupancies less than 2,000 square feet in area, provided the adjacent grade is not steeper than 1 unit vertical in 10 units horizontal (10% sloped), assigned to Seismic Design Category D.

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, the language in Section 1704.6.2 of the California Building Code would permit many low-rise buildings and structures with complex structural elements to be constructed without the benefit of a structural observation. By requiring a registered design professional to observe the construction, the quality of the observation for major structural elements and connections that affect the vertical and lateral load resisting systems of the structure will greatly be increased. An exception is provided to permit simple structures and buildings to be excluded. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require the registered design professional in responsible charge for the structural design to observe the construction will help ensure acceptable standards of workmanship is provided and to improve the quality of the observation and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

J. Section 1705.3 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1705.3 Concrete construction. The special inspections and tests for concrete construction shall be performed in accordance with this section and Table 1705.3.

Exceptions: Special inspections and tests shall not be required for:

1. Isolated spread concrete footings of buildings three stories or less above grade plane that are fully supported on earth or rock where the structural design of the footing is based on a specified compressive strength, f'_c , not more than 2,500 pounds per square inch (psi) (17.2 Mpa) regardless of the compressive strength specified in the construction documents or used in the footing construction.
2. Continuous concrete footings supporting walls of buildings three stories or less above grade plane that are fully supported on earth or rock where:
 - 2.1. The footings support walls of light-frame construction;
 - 2.2. The footings are designed in accordance with Table 1809.7;
 - 2.3. The structural design of the footing is based on a specified compressive strength, f'_c , not more than 2,500 pounds per square inch (psi) (17.2 Mpa), regardless of the compressive strength specified in the construction documents or used in the footing construction.
3. Nonstructural concrete slabs supported directly on the ground, including prestressed slabs on grade, where the effective prestress in the concrete is less than 150 psi (1.03 Mpa).
- ~~4. Concrete foundation walls constructed in accordance with Table 1807.1.6.2.~~
- ~~5~~4. Concrete patios, driveways and sidewalks, on grade.

RATIONALE:

Results from studies after the 1994 Northridge Earthquake indicated that a lot of the damage was attributed to a lack of quality control during construction resulting in poor performance of the building or structure. Therefore, the proposed amendment requires special inspection for concrete with a compressive strength greater than 2,500 pounds per square inch. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require special inspection for concrete with a compressive strength greater than 2,500 psi to improve quality of control during construction and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

K. Section 1705.12 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1705.12 Special inspections for seismic resistance. Special inspections for seismic resistance shall be required as specified in Sections 1705.12.1 through 1705.12.9, unless exempted by the exceptions of Section 1704.2.

Exception: The special inspections specified in Sections 1705.12.1 through 1705.12.9 are not required for structures designed and constructed in accordance with one of the following:

1. The structure consists of light-frame construction; the design spectral response acceleration at short periods, S_{Ds} , as determined in Section 1613.2.4, does not exceed 0.5; and the building height of the structure does not exceed 35 feet (10 668 mm).
2. The seismic force-resisting system of the structure consists of reinforced masonry or reinforced concrete; the design spectral response acceleration at short periods, S_{Ds} , as determined in Section 1613.2.4, does not exceed 0.5; and the building height of the structure does not exceed 25 feet (7620 mm)
3. ~~The structure is a detached one- or two-family dwelling not exceeding two stories above grade plane, is not assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F, and does not have any of the following horizontal or vertical irregularities in accordance with Section 12.3 of ASCE 7:~~

~~3.1 Torsional or extreme torsional irregularity.~~

~~3.2 Nonparallel systems irregularity.~~

~~3.3 Stiffness soft story or stiffness extreme soft story irregularity.~~

~~3.4 Discontinuity in lateral strength weak story irregularity.~~

RATIONALE:

In Gardena, a few detached one- or two-family dwellings not exceeding two stories above grade plane are built as "box-type" structures. Many steel moment frames or braced frames and/or cantilevered columns within buildings can still be shown as "regular" structures by calculations. With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, the language in Section 1705.12 Exception 3 of the California Building Code would permit many detached one- or two-family dwellings not exceeding two stories above grade plane with complex structural elements to be constructed without the benefit of special inspections. By requiring special inspections, the quality of major structural elements and connections that affect the vertical and lateral load resisting systems of the structure will greatly be increased. The exception should only be allowed for detached one- or two-family dwellings not exceeding two stories above grade plane assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B and C. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing

major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require special inspections for detached one- or two-family dwellings not exceeding two stories above grade plane assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E and F will help ensure that acceptable standards of workmanship and quality of construction are provided and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

L. Section 1807.1.4 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1807.1.4 Permanent wood foundation systems. ~~Permanent wood foundation systems shall be designed and installed in accordance with AWC PWF. Lumber and plywood shall be treated in accordance with AWPAC U1 (Commodity Specification A, Special Requirement 4.2) and shall be identified in accordance with Section 2303.1.9.1. Permanent wood foundation systems shall not be used for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.~~

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood foundation systems are effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effects of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood foundation systems not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly and have led to slope failures. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic events and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result in using wood foundation systems that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood foundation systems as well as limit prescriptive design provisions in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new

buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

M. Section 1807.1.6 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1807.1.6 Prescriptive design of concrete and masonry foundation walls. Concrete and masonry foundation walls that are laterally supported at the top and bottom shall be permitted to be designed and constructed in accordance with this section. Prescriptive design of foundation walls shall not be used for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, it is deemed necessary to take precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by following prescriptive design provisions that does not take into consideration the surrounding environment. Plain concrete performs poorly in withstanding the cyclic forces resulting from seismic events. In addition, no substantiating data has been provided to show that under-reinforced foundation walls are effective in resisting seismic loads and may potentially lead to a higher risk of failure. It is important that the benefit and expertise of a registered design professional be obtained to properly analyze the structure and take these issues into consideration. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to prohibit prescriptive design provisions for foundation walls as plain concrete have performed poorly in withstanding the cyclic forces resulting from seismic events and to require the walls to be designed by a registered design professional to ensure that the proper analysis of the structure takes into account the surrounding condition and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

N. Section 1807.2 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1807.2 Retaining walls. Retaining walls shall be designed in accordance with Section 1807.2.1 through 1807.2.3. Retaining walls assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F shall not be partially or wholly constructed of wood.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood foundation systems are effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effects of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood foundation systems not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly and have led to slope failures. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic events and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result in using wood foundation systems that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood foundation systems as well as limit prescriptive design provisions in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

O. Section 1807.3.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1807.3.1 Limitations. The design procedures outlined in this section are subject to the following limitations:

- ~~1. The frictional resistance for structural walls and slabs on silts and clays shall be limited to one half of the normal force imposed on the soils by the weight of the footing or slab.~~
- ~~2. Posts embedded in earth shall not be used to provide lateral support for structural or nonstructural materials such as plaster, masonry or concrete unless bracing is provided that develops the limited deflection required.~~

Wood poles shall be treated in accordance with AWP A U1 for sawn timber posts (Commodity Specification A, Use Category 4B) and for round timber posts (Commodity Specification B, Use Category 4B). Wood poles and posts embedded in direct contact with soil shall not be used for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

Exception: Wood poles and posts embedded in direct contact with soil may be used to support nonhabitable, nonoccupiable structures such as fences when approved by the building official.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood foundation systems are effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effects of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood foundation systems not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly and have led to slope failures. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in Gardena and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic events and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result in using wood foundation systems that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood foundation systems as well as limit prescriptive design provisions in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

P. Section 1809.3 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1809.3 Stepped footings. The top surface of footings shall be level. The bottom surface of footings shall be permitted to have a slope not exceeding one unit vertical in 10 units horizontal (10-percent slope). Footings shall be stepped where it is

necessary to change the elevation of the top surface of the footing or where the surface of the ground slopes more than one unit vertical in 10 units horizontal (10-percent slope).

For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F, the stepping requirement shall also apply to the top surface of continuous footings supporting walls. Footings shall be reinforced with four No. 4 deformed reinforcing bars. Two bars shall be placed at the top and bottom of the footings as shown in Figure 1809.3.




FIGURE 1809.3
STEPPED FOOTING

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, precautionary steps are proposed to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result for under reinforced footings located on sloped surfaces. Requiring minimum reinforcement for stepped footings is intended to address the problem of poor performance of plain or under-reinforced footings during a seismic event. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require minimum reinforcement in stepped footings is intended to improve performance of buildings and structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

- Q. Section 1809.7 and Table 1809.7 of the California Building Code are amended to read as follows:

1809.7 Prescriptive footings for light-frame construction. Where a specific design is not provided, concrete or masonry-unit footings supporting walls of light-frame construction shall be permitted to be designed in accordance with Table 1809.7. Light-frame construction using prescriptive footings in Table 1809.7 shall not exceed one story above grade plane for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

TABLE 1809.7
PRESCRIPTIVE FOOTINGS SUPPORTING WALLS OF
LIGHT-FRAME CONSTRUCTION ^{a, b, c, d, e}

NUMBER OF FLOORS SUPPORTED BY THE FOOTING ^f	WIDTH OF FOOTING (inches)	THICKNESS OF FOOTING (inches)
1	12	6
2	15	6
3	18	8 ^g

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm

- a. Depth of footings shall be in accordance with Section 1809.4.
- b. The ground under the floor shall be permitted to be excavated to the elevation of the top of the footing.
- c. ~~Interior stud bearing walls shall be permitted to be supported by isolated footings. The footing width and length shall be twice the width shown in this table, and footings shall be spaced not more than 6 feet on center. Not Adopted.~~
- d. See Section 1905 for additional requirements for concrete footings of structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F.
- e. For thickness of foundation walls, see Section 1807.1.6.
- f. Footings shall be permitted to support a roof addition to the stipulated number of floors. Footings supporting roof only shall be as required for supporting one floor.
- g. ~~Plain concrete footings for Group R-3 occupancies shall be permitted to be 6 inches thick.~~

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that under-reinforced footings are effective in resisting seismic loads and may potentially lead to a higher risk of failure. Therefore, this proposed amendment requires minimum reinforcement in continuous footings to address the problem of poor performance of plain or under-reinforced footings during a seismic event. With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, precautionary steps are proposed to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by following prescriptive design provisions for footing that does not take into consideration the surrounding environment. It was important that the benefit and expertise of a registered design professional be obtained to properly analyze the structure and take these issues into consideration. This amendment reflects the

recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to limit the use of the prescriptive design provisions and under-reinforced or plain concrete is to ensure that the proper analysis of the structure takes into account the surrounding condition and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

R. Section 1809.12 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1809.12 Timber footings. Timber footings shall be permitted for buildings of Type V construction and as otherwise approved by the Building Official. Such footings shall be treated in accordance with AWPA U1 (Commodity Specification A, Use Category 4B). Treated timbers are not required where placed entirely below permanent water level, or where used as capping for wood piles that project above the water level over submerged or marsh lands. The compressive stresses perpendicular to grain in untreated timber footings supported on treated piles shall not exceed 70 percent of the allowable stresses for the species and grade of timber as specified in the ANSI/AWC NDS. Timber footings shall not be used in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that timber footings are effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event, especially while being subjected to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effects of moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Timber footings, when they are not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic event and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by using timber footings that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of timber footings in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

S. Section 1810.3.2.4 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1810.3.2.4 Timber. Timber deep foundation elements shall be designed as piles or poles in accordance with ANSI/AWC NDS. Round timber elements shall conform to ASTM D 25. Sawn timber elements shall conform to DOC PS-20. Timber deep foundation elements shall not be used in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that timber deep foundation is effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effect of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Timber deep foundation, when they are not properly treated and protected against deterioration, has performed very poorly. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic event and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by using timber deep foundation that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina)

subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of timber deep foundation in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

T. Section 1905.1.7 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

1905.1.7 ACI 318, Section 14.1.4. Delete ACI 318, Section 14.1.4, and replace with the following:

14.1.4 – Plain concrete in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F.

14.1.4.1 – Structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F shall not have elements of structural plain concrete, except as follows:

(a) ~~Structural plain concrete basement, foundation or other walls below the base as defined in ASCE 7 are permitted in detached one and two family dwellings three stories or less in height constructed with stud bearing walls. In dwellings assigned to Seismic Design Category D or E, the height of the wall shall not exceed 8 feet (2438 mm), the thickness shall not be less than 7½ inches (190 mm), and the wall shall retain no more than 4 feet (1219 mm) of unbalanced fill. Walls shall have reinforcement in accordance with 14.6.1. Concrete used for fill with a minimum cement content of two (2) sacks of Portland cement or cementitious material per cubic yard.~~

(b) Isolated footings of plain concrete supporting pedestals or columns are permitted, provided the projection of the footing beyond the face of the supported member does not exceed the footing thickness.

~~Exception: In detached one and two family dwellings three stories or less in height, the projection of the footing beyond the face of the supported member is permitted to exceed the footing thickness.~~

(c) Plain concrete footings supporting walls are permitted provided the footings have at least two continuous longitudinal reinforcing bars. Bars shall not be smaller than No. 4 and shall have a total area of not less than 0.002 times the gross cross-sectional area of the footing. ~~For footings that exceed 8 inches (203 mm) in thickness, a~~ minimum of one bar shall be provided at the top and bottom of the footing. Continuity of reinforcement shall be provided at corners and intersections.

Exceptions:

- ~~1. In Seismic Design Categories A, B and C, Detached one- and two-family dwellings three stories or less in height and constructed with stud-bearing walls, are permitted to have plain concrete footings without longitudinal reinforcement with at least two continuous longitudinal reinforcing bars not smaller than No. 4 are permitted to have a total area of less than 0.002 times the gross cross-sectional area of the footing.~~
- ~~2. For foundation systems consisting of a plain concrete footing and a plain concrete stemwall, a minimum of one bar shall be provided at the top of the stemwall and at the bottom of the are footing.~~
- ~~3. Where a slab on ground is cast monolithically with the footing, one No. 5 bar is permitted to be located at either the top of the slab or bottom of the footing.~~

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment requires minimum reinforcement in continuous footings to address the problem of poor performance of plain or under-reinforced footings during a seismic event. This amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require minimum reinforcement to address the problem of poor performance of plain or under-reinforced footings during a seismic event and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

U. Section 1905.1 is amended and Sections 1905.1.9 thru 1905.1.11 are added to Chapter 19 of the California Building Code to read as follows:

1905.1 General. The text of ACI 318 shall be modified as indicated in Sections 1905.1.1 through ~~1905.1.8~~ 1905.1.11.

1905.1.9 ACI 318, Section 18.7.5. Modify ACI 318, Section 18.7.5, by adding Section 18.7.5.7 and 18.7.5.8 as follows:

18.7.5.7 Where the calculated point of contraflexure is not within the middle half of the member clear height, provide transverse reinforcement as specified in ACI 318 Sections 18.7.5.1, Items (a) through (c), over the full height of the member.

18.7.5.8 – At any section where the design strength, ϕP_n , of the column is less than the sum of the shears V_e computed in accordance with ACI 318 Sections 18.7.6.1 and 18.6.5.1 for all the beams framing into the column above the level under consideration, transverse reinforcement as specified in ACI 318 Sections 18.7.5.1 through 18.7.5.3 shall be provided. For beams framing into opposite sides of the column, the moment components are permitted to be assumed to be of opposite sign. For the determination of the design strength, ϕP_n , of the column, these moments are permitted to be assumed to result from the deformation of the frame in any one principal axis.

1905.1.10 ACI 318, Section 18.10.4. Modify ACI 318, Section 18.10.4, by adding Section 18.10.4.6 as follows:

18.10.4.6 – Walls and portions of walls with $P_u > 0.35P_o$ shall not be considered to contribute to the calculated shear strength of the structure for resisting earthquake-induced forces. Such walls shall conform to the requirements of ACI 318 Section 18.14.

1905.1.11 ACI 318, Section 18.12.6. Modify ACI 318, by adding Section 18.12.6.2 as follows:

18.12.6.2 Collector and boundary elements in topping slabs placed over precast floor and roof elements shall not be less than 3 inches (76 mm) or $6 d_b$ in thickness, where d_b is the diameter of the largest reinforcement in the topping slab.

RATIONALE:

This amendment is intended to carry over critical provisions for the design of concrete columns in moment frames from the legacy 1997 Uniform Building Code. Increased confinement is critical to the integrity of such columns and these modifications ensure that it is provided when certain thresholds are exceeded.

In addition, this amendment carries over from the legacy 1997 Uniform Building Code a critical provision for the design of concrete shear walls. It essentially limits the use of very highly gravity-loaded walls in being included in the seismic load resisting system, since their failure could have catastrophic effect on the building.

Furthermore, this amendment was incorporated in the code based on observations from the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Rebar placed in very thin concrete topping slabs have been observed in some instances to have popped out of the slab due to insufficient

concrete coverage. This modification ensures that critical boundary and collector rebars are placed in sufficiently thick topping slab to prevent buckling of such reinforcements.

This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to increase confinement in critical columns, limiting the use of highly gravity loaded walls, and increase concrete coverage in thin slabs will have to prevent failure of the structure and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

V. Section 2304.10.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2304.10.1 Fastener requirements. Connections for wood members shall be designed in accordance with the appropriate methodology in Section 2302.1. The number and size of fasteners connecting wood members shall not be less than that set forth in Table 2304.10.1. Staple fasteners in Table 2304.10.1 shall not be used to resist or transfer seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

Exception: Staples may be used to resist or transfer seismic forces when the allowable shear values are substantiated by cyclic testing and approved by the building official.

RATIONALE:

Due to the high geologic activities in the Southern California area and the expected higher level of performance on buildings and structures, this proposed local amendment limit the use of staple fasteners in resisting or transferring seismic forces. In September 2007, limited cyclic testing data was provided to the ICC Los Angeles Chapter Structural Code Committee showing that stapled wood structural shear panels do not exhibit the same behavior as the nailed wood structural shear panels. The test results of the stapled wood structural shear panels appeared much lower in strength and drift than the nailed wood structural shear panel test results. Therefore, the use of staples as fasteners to resist or transfer seismic forces shall not be permitted without being substantiated by cyclic testing. This proposed amendment is a continuation of a similar amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to limit the use of staple fasteners to resist or transfer seismic load improve the performance of buildings and structures during a seismic event and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

W. Section 2304.10.2.1 is added to the California Building Code to read as follows:

2304.10.2.1 Quality of nails. In Seismic Design Category D, E or F, mechanically driven nails used in wood structural panel shear walls shall meet the same dimensions as that required for hand-driven nails, including diameter, minimum length and minimum head diameter. Clipped head or box nails are not permitted in new construction. The allowable design value for clipped head nails in existing construction may be taken at no more than the nail-head-area ratio of that of the same size hand-driven nails.

RATIONALE:

The overdriving of nails into the structural wood panel still remains a concern when pneumatic nail guns are used for wood structural panel shear wall nailing. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8-inch thick plywood during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. The use of clipped head nails as allowed in Table A1 of AFPA SDPWS footnote referencing to ASTM F1667, continues to be restricted from being used in wood structural panel shear walls where the minimum nail head size must be maintained in order to minimize nails from pulling through sheathing materials. Clipped or mechanically driven nails used in wood structural panel shear wall construction were found to perform much less in previous wood structural panel shear wall testing done at the University of California Irvine. The existing test results indicated that, under cyclic loading, the wood structural panel shear walls were less energy absorbent and less ductile. The panels reached ultimate load capacity and failed at substantially less lateral deflection than those using same size hand-driven nails. This amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to require mechanically driven nails to have the same dimensions as hand-driven nail will result in improved quality of construction and performance of wood structural panel shear walls and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

X. Section 2304.12.5 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2304.12.5 Wood used in retaining walls and cribs. Wood installed in retaining or crib walls shall be preservative treated in accordance with AWP A U1 for soil and fresh water use. Wood shall not be used in retaining or crib walls for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood used in retaining or crib walls are effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effect of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood used in retaining or crib walls, when they are not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic event and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by using wood in retaining or crib walls that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood in retaining or crib walls in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

Y. Section 2305.4 is added to Chapter 23 of the California Building Code to read as follows:

2305.4 Hold-down connectors. In Seismic Design Category D, E or F, hold-down connectors shall be designed to resist shear wall overturning moments using approved cyclic load values or 75 percent of the allowable seismic load values that do not consider cyclic loading of the product. Connector bolts into wood framing shall require steel plate washers on the post on the opposite side of the anchorage device. Plate size shall be a minimum of 0.229 inch by 3 inches by 3 inches (5.82 mm by 76

mm by 76 mm) in size. Hold-down connectors shall be tightened to finger tight plus one half (1/2) wrench turn just prior to covering the wall framing.

RATIONALE:

ICC-ES AC 155 Acceptance Criteria for Hold-downs (Tie-Downs) Attached to Wood Members is widely used to establish allowable values for hold-down connectors in evaluation reports. AC 155 uses monotonic loading to establish allowable values. Yet, cyclic and dynamic forces imparted on buildings and structures by seismic activity cause more damage than equivalent forces that are applied in a monotonic manner. However, the engineering, regulatory and manufacturing industries have not reached consensus on the appropriate cyclic or dynamic testing protocols. This condition is expected to continue for some time. In the interim, this proposed amendment continues to limit the allowable capacity to 75% of the evaluation report value to provide an additional factor of safety for statically tested anchorage devices. Steel plate washers will reduce the additional damage that can result when hold-down connectors are fastened to wood framing members. This amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles with additional editorial revisions for clarification.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to establish minimum performance requirements for hold-down connectors will reduce failure of wood structural panel shear walls due to excessive deflection and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

Z. Section 2306.2 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2306.2 Wood-frame diaphragms. Wood-frame diaphragms shall be designed and constructed in accordance with AWC SDPWS. Where panels are fastened to framing members with staples, requirements and limitations of AWC SDPWS shall be met and the allowable shear values set forth in Table 2306.2(1) or 2306.2(2) shall only be permitted for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B, or C.

Exception: Allowable shear values where panels are fastened to framing members with staples may be used if such values are substantiated by cyclic testing and approved by the building official.

The allowable shear values in Tables 2306.2(1) and 2306.2(2) are permitted to be increased 40 percent for wind design.

Wood structural panel diaphragms used to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F shall be applied directly to the framing members.

Exception: Wood structural panel diaphragms are permitted to be fastened over solid lumber planking or laminated decking, provided the panel joints and lumber planking or laminated decking joints do not coincide.

RATIONALE:

The Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the damages to buildings and structures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake recommended reducing allowable shear values in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms that were not substantiated by cyclic testing. That recommendation was consistent with a report to the Governor from the Seismic Safety Commission of the State of California recommending that code requirements be "more thoroughly substantiated with testing." The allowable shear values for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms fastened with staples are based on monotonic testing and does not take into consideration that earthquake forces load shear wall or diaphragm in a repeating and fully reversible manner.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with staples would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with staples appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended that the use of staples as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E and F unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

Furthermore, the city of Gardena has taken extra measures to maintain the structural integrity of the framing of shear walls and diaphragms designed for high levels of seismic forces by requiring wood sheathing be applied directly over the framing members and prohibiting the use of panels placed over gypsum sheathing. This proposed amendment is intended to prevent the undesirable performance of nails when gypsum board softens due to cyclic earthquake displacements and the nail ultimately does not have any engagement in a solid material within the thickness of the gypsum board.

This proposed amendment continues the previous amendment adopted during the 2010 code adoption cycle.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on staples as fasteners used in wood structural panel or diaphragms not substantiated with cyclic testing will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be

incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

AA. Section 2306.3 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2306.3 Wood-frame shear walls. Wood-frame shear walls shall be designed and constructed in accordance with AWC SDPWS. For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F, application of Tables 4.3A and 4.3B of AWC SDPWS shall include the following:

1. Wood structural panel thickness for shear walls shall not be less than 3/8 inch thick and studs shall not be spaced at more than 16 inches on center.
2. The maximum nominal unit shear capacities for 3/8 inch wood structural panels resisting seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F is 400 pounds per linear foot (plf).

Exception: Other nominal unit shear capacities may be permitted if such values are substantiated by cyclic testing and approved by the building official.

3. Nails shall be placed not less than 1/2 inch in from the panel edges and not less than 3/8 inch from the edge of the connecting members for shear greater than 350 plf using ASD or 500 plf using LRFD. Nails shall be placed not less than 3/8 inch from panel edges and not less than 1/4 inch from the edge of the connecting members for shears of 350 plf or less using ASD or 500 plf or less using LRFD.
4. Table 4.3B application is not allowed for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F.

For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, application of Table 4.3C of AWC SDPWS shall not be used below the top level in a multi-level building.

Where panels are fastened to framing members with staples, requirements and limitations of AWC SDPWS shall be met and the allowable shear values set forth in Table 2306.3(1), 2306.3(2) or 2306.3(3) shall only be permitted for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B, or C.

Exception: Allowable shear values where panels are fastened to framing members with staples may be used if such values are substantiated by cyclic testing and approved by the building official.

The allowable shear values in Tables 2306.3(1) and 2306.3(2) are permitted to be increased 40 percent for wind design. Panels complying with ANSI/APA PRP-210 shall be permitted to use design values for Plywood Siding in the AWC SDPWS.

RATIONALE:

The Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the damages to buildings and structures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake recommended reducing allowable shear values in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms that were not substantiated by cyclic testing. That recommendation was consistent with a report to the Governor from the Seismic Safety Commission of the State of California recommending that code requirements be "more thoroughly substantiated with testing." The allowable shear values for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms fastened with stapled nails are based on monotonic testing and does not take into consideration that earthquake forces load shear wall or diaphragm in a repeating and fully reversible manner.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with stapled nails would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with stapled nails appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended that the use of stapled nail as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E and F unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

Furthermore, the city of Gardena has taken extra measures to maintain the structural integrity of the framing of shear walls and diaphragms designed for high levels of seismic forces by requiring wood sheathing be applied directly over the framing members and prohibiting the use of panels placed over gypsum sheathing. This proposed amendment is intended to prevent the undesirable performance of nails when gypsum board softens due to cyclic earthquake displacements and the nail ultimately does not have any engagement in a solid material within the thickness of the gypsum board.

This proposed amendment continues the previous amendment adopted during the 2010 code adoption cycle.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on stapled nail fasteners used in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not substantiated with cyclic testing will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

BB. Section 2307.2 is added to the California Building Code to read as follows:

2307.2 Wood-frame shear walls. Wood-frame shear walls shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Section 2306.3 as applicable.

RATIONALE:

The Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the damages to buildings and structures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake recommended reducing allowable shear values in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms that were not substantiated by cyclic testing. That recommendation was consistent with a report to the Governor from the Seismic Safety Commission of the State of California recommending that code requirements be "more thoroughly substantiated with testing." The allowable shear values for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms fastened with stapled nails are based on monotonic testing and does not take into consideration that earthquake forces load shear wall or diaphragm in a repeating and fully reversible manner.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with stapled nails would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with stapled nails appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended that the use of stapled nail as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E and F unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

Furthermore, the city of Gardena has taken extra measures to maintain the structural integrity of the framing of shear walls and diaphragms designed for high levels of seismic forces by requiring wood sheathing be applied directly over the framing members and prohibiting the use of panels placed over gypsum sheathing. This proposed amendment is intended to prevent the undesirable performance of nails when gypsum board softens due to cyclic earthquake displacements and the nail ultimately does not have any engagement in a solid material within the thickness of the gypsum board.







This proposed amendment continues the previous amendment adopted during the 2010 code adoption cycle.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on stapled nail fasteners used in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not substantiated with cyclic testing will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

CC. Table 2308.6.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

TABLE 2308.6.1*
WALL BRACING REQUIREMENTS

SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	STORY CONDITION (SEE SECTION 2308.2)	MAXIMUM SPACING OF BRACED WALL LINES	BRACED PANEL LOCATION, SPACING (O.C.) AND MINIMUM PERCENTAGE (X)			MAXIMUM DISTANCE OF BRACED WALL PANELS FROM EACH END OF BRACED WALL LINE
			Bracing method ^b			
			LIB	DWB, WSP	SFB, PBS, PCP, HPS, GB ^{c,d}	
A and B		35'- 0"	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	12'- 6"
		35'- 0"	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	12'- 6"
		35'- 0"	NP	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	12'- 6"
C		35'- 0"	NP	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c.	12'- 6"
		35'- 0"	NP	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 25% of wall length) ^e	Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 25% of wall length) ^e	12'- 6"
D and E <u>f, g, h</u>		25'- 0"	NP	$S_{DS} < 0.50$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 21% of wall length) ^e	$S_{DS} < 0.50$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 43% of wall length) ^e	8'- 0"
				$0.5 \leq S_{DS} < 0.75$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 32% of wall length) ^e	$0.5 \leq S_{DS} < 0.75$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 59% of wall length) ^e	
				$0.75 \leq S_{DS} \leq 1.00$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 37% of wall length) ^e	$0.75 \leq S_{DS} \leq 1.00$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 75% of wall length)	
				$S_{DS} > 1.00$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 48% of wall length) ^e	$S_{DS} > 1.00$: Each end and ≤ 25'- 0" o.c. (minimum 100% of wall length) ^e	

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

NP = Not Permitted.

a. This table specifies minimum requirements for braced wall panels along interior or exterior braced wall lines.

b. See Section 2308.6.3 for full description of bracing methods.

c. For Method GB, gypsum wallboard applied to framing supports that are spaced at 16 inches on center.

d. The required lengths shall be doubled for gypsum board applied to only one face of a braced wall panel.

e. Percentage shown represents the minimum amount of bracing required along the building length (or wall length if the structure has an irregular shape).

f. DWB, SFB, PBS, and HPS wall braces are not permitted in Seismic Design Categories D or E.

g. Minimum length of panel bracing of one face of the wall for WSP sheathing shall be at least 4'-0" long or both faces of the wall for GB or PCP sheathing shall be at least 8'-0" long; h/w ratio shall not exceed 2:1. Wall framing to which sheathing used for bracing is applied shall be nominal 2 inch wide (actual 1 1/2 inch (38 mm) or larger members and spaced a maximum of 16 inches on center. Braced wall panel construction types shall not be mixed within a braced wall line.

h. WSP sheathing shall be a minimum of 15/32" thick nailed with 8d common placed 3/8 inches from panel edges and spaced not more than 6 inches on center and 12 inches on center along intermediate framing members.

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness and nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands placed on buildings or structure in this region. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. Conventional framing does not address the need for a continuous load path, critical shear transfer mechanisms, connection-ties, irregular and flexible portions of complex shaped structures. The proposed modification to provide specific detailing requirements will improve the performance of buildings and structures and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.


DD. Sections 2308.6.5, 2308.6.5.1 and 2308.6.5.2 and Figures 2308.6.5.1 and 2308.6.5.2 of the California Building Code are amended to read as follows:

2308.6.5 Alternative bracing. An alternate braced wall (ABW) or a portal frame with hold-downs (PFH) described in this section is permitted to substitute for a 48-inch (1219 mm) braced wall panel of Method DWB, WSP, SFB, PBS, PCP or HPS. For Method GB, each 96-inch (2438 mm) section (applied to one face) or 48-inch (1219 mm) section (applied to both faces) or portion thereof required by Table 2308.6.1 is permitted to be replaced by one panel constructed in accordance with Method ABW or PFH.

2308.6.5.1 Alternate braced wall (ABW). An ABW shall be constructed in accordance with this section and Figure 2308.6.5.1. In one-story buildings, each panel shall have a length of not less than 2 feet 8 inches (813 mm) and a height of not more than 10 feet (3048 mm). Each panel shall be sheathed on one face with 3/8-inch (3.2 mm) minimum-thickness wood structural panel sheathing nailed with 8d common or galvanized box nails in accordance with Table 2304.10.1 and blocked at wood structural panel edges. For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D or E, each panel shall be sheathed on one face with 15/32-inch-minimum-thickness (11.9 mm) wood structural panel sheathing nailed with 8d common nails spaced 3 inches on panel edges, 3 inches at intermediate supports. Two anchor bolts installed in accordance with Section 2308.3.1 shall be provided in each panel. Anchor bolts shall be placed at each panel outside quarter points.

Each panel end stud shall have a hold-down device fastened to the foundation, capable of providing an approved uplift capacity of not less than 1,800 pounds (8006 N). The hold-down device shall be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The ABW shall be supported directly on a foundation or on floor framing supported directly on a foundation that is continuous across the entire length of the braced wall line. This foundation shall be reinforced with not less than one No. 4 bar top and bottom. Where the continuous foundation is required to have a depth greater than 12 inches (305 mm), a minimum 12-inch by 12-inch (305 mm by 305 mm) continuous footing or ~~turned-down slab edge~~ is permitted at door openings in the braced wall line. This continuous footing or ~~turned-down slab edge~~ shall be reinforced with not less than one No. 4 bar top and bottom. This reinforcement shall be lapped ~~15~~ 24 inches (384 610 mm) with the reinforcement required in the continuous foundation located directly under the braced wall line.

Where the ABW is installed at the first story of two-story buildings, the wood structural panel sheathing shall be provided on both faces, three anchor bolts shall be placed at one-quarter points and tie-down device uplift capacity shall be not less than 3,000 pounds (13 344 N).



For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

a. For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D or E, sheathed on one face with 15/32-inch minimum thickness (11.9 mm) wood structural panel sheathing.

FIGURE 2308.6.5.1
ALTERNATE BRACED WALL PANEL (ABW)


2308.6.5.2 Portal frame with hold-downs (PFH). A PFH shall be constructed in accordance with this section and Figure 2308.6.5.2. The adjacent door or window opening shall have a full-length header.

In one-story buildings, each panel shall have a length of not less than 16 inches (406 mm) and a height of not more than 10 feet (3048 mm). Each panel shall be sheathed on one face with a single layer of 3/8-inch (9.5 mm) minimum-thickness wood structural panel sheathing nailed with 8d common or galvanized box nails in

accordance with Figure 2308.6.5.2. For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D or E, each panel shall be sheathed on one face with 15/32-inch-minimum-thickness (11.9 mm) wood structural panel sheathing nailed with 8d common nails spaced 3 inches on panel edges, 3 inches at intermediate supports and in accordance with Figure 2308.6.5.2. The wood structural panel sheathing shall extend up over the solid sawn or glued-laminated header and shall be nailed in accordance with Figure 2308.6.5.2. A built-up header consisting of at least two 2-inch by 12-inch (51 mm by 305 mm) boards, fastened in accordance with Item 24 of Table 2304.10.1 shall be permitted to be used. A spacer, if used, shall be placed on the side of the built-up beam opposite the wood structural panel sheathing. The header shall extend between the inside faces of the first full-length outer studs of each panel. The clear span of the header between the inner studs of each panel shall be not less than 6 feet (1829 mm) and not more than 18 feet (5486 mm) in length. A strap with an uplift capacity of not less than 1,000 pounds (4,400 N) shall fasten the header to the inner studs opposite the sheathing. One anchor bolt not less than 5/8 inch (15.9 mm) diameter and installed in accordance with Section 2308.3.1 shall be provided in the center of each sill plate. The studs at each end of the panel shall have a hold-down device fastened to the foundation with an uplift capacity of not less than 3,500 pounds (15 570 N).

Where a panel is located on one side of the opening, the header shall extend between the inside face of the first full-length stud of the panel and the bearing studs at the other end of the opening. A strap with an uplift capacity of not less than 1,000 pounds (4400 N) shall fasten the header to the bearing studs. The bearing studs shall also have a hold-down device fastened to the foundation with an uplift capacity of not less than 1,000 pounds (4400 N). The hold-down devices shall be an embedded strap type, installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The PFH panels shall be supported directly on a foundation that is continuous across the entire length of the braced wall line. This foundation shall be reinforced with not less than one No. 4 bar top and bottom. Where the continuous foundation is required to have a depth greater than 12 inches (305 mm), a minimum 12-inch by 12-inch (305 mm by 305 mm) continuous footing ~~or turned-down slab edge~~ is permitted at door openings in the braced wall line. This continuous footing ~~or turned-down slab edge~~ shall be reinforced with not less than one No. 4 bar top and bottom. This reinforcement shall be lapped not less than ~~15~~ 24 inches (~~384~~ 610 mm) with the reinforcement required in the continuous foundation located directly under the braced wall line.

Where a PFH is installed at the first story of two-story buildings, each panel shall have a length of not less than 24 inches (610 mm).



For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 pound = 4.448 N.

a. For structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D or E, sheathed on one face with 15/32-inch-minimum-thickness (11.9 mm) wood structural panel sheathing.

FIGURE 2308.6.5.2
PORTAL FRAME WITH HOLD-DOWNS (PFH)

RATIONALE:

3/8" thick, 3 ply-plywood shear walls experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8" thick 3-ply plywood during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness, nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification requiring minimum sheathing thickness and nailing type and size will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings

are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

EE. Section 2308.6.8.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2308.6.8.1 Foundation requirements. Braced wall lines shall be supported by continuous foundations.

Exception: ~~For structures with a maximum plan dimension not more than 50 feet (15240 mm), continuous foundations are required at exterior walls only for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B, or C.~~

For structures in Seismic Design Categories D and E, exterior braced wall panels shall be in the same plane vertically with the foundation or the portion of the structure containing the offset shall be designed in accordance with accepted engineering practice and Section 2308.1.1.

Exceptions:

- ~~1. Exterior braced wall panels shall be permitted to be located not more than 4 feet (1219 mm) from the foundation below where supported by a floor constructed in accordance with all of the following:~~
 - ~~1.1. Cantilevers or setbacks shall not exceed four times the nominal depth of the floor joists.~~
 - ~~1.2. Floor joists shall be 2 inches by 10 inches (51 mm by 254 mm) or larger and spaced not more than 16 inches (406 mm) on center.~~
 - ~~1.3. The ratio of the back span to the cantilever shall be not less than 2 to 1.~~
 - ~~1.4. Floor joists at ends of braced wall panels shall be doubled.~~
 - ~~1.5. A continuous rim joist shall be connected to the ends of cantilevered joists. The rim joist is permitted to be spliced using a metal tie not less than 0.058 inch (1.47 mm) (16 galvanized gage) and 1 1/2 inches (38 mm) in width fastened with six 16d common nails on each side. The metal tie shall have a yield stress not less than 33,000 psi (227 MPa).~~
 - ~~1.6. Joists at setbacks or the end of cantilevered joists shall not carry gravity loads from more than a single story having uniform wall and roof loads nor carry the reactions from headers having a span of 8 feet (2438 mm) or more.~~

2. ~~The end of a required braced wall panel shall be allowed to extend not more than 1 foot (305 mm) over an opening in the wall below. This requirement is applicable to braced wall panels offset in plane and braced wall panels offset out of plane as permitted by Exception 1. Braced wall panels are permitted to extend over an opening not more than 8 feet (2438 mm) in width where the header is a 4-inch by 12-inch (102 mm by 305 mm) or larger member.~~

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, interior walls can easily be called upon to resist over half of the seismic loading imposed on simple buildings or structures. Without a continuous foundation to support the braced wall line, seismic loads would be transferred through other elements such as non-structural concrete slab floors, wood floors, etc. The proposed change is to limit the use of the exception to structures assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B or C where lower seismic demands are expected. Requiring interior braced walls be supported by continuous foundations is intended to reduce or eliminate the poor performance of buildings or structures. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. Conventional framing does not address the need for a continuous load path, critical shear transfer mechanisms, connection-ties, irregular and flexible portions of complex shaped structures. The proposed modification to require continuous footings under braced wall lines will improve performance of buildings or structure during a seismic event and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

FF. Section 2308.6.9 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

2308.6.9 Attachment of sheathing. Fastening of braced wall panel sheathing shall not be less than that prescribed in Tables 2308.6.1 or 2304.10.1. Wall sheathing shall not be attached to framing members by adhesives. Staple fasteners in Table 2304.10.1 shall not be used in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E or F.

All braced wall panels shall extend to the roof sheathing and shall be attached to parallel roof rafters or blocking above with framing clips (18 gauge minimum) spaced at maximum 24 inches (6096 mm) on center with four 8d nails per leg (total eight 8d nails per clip). Braced wall panels shall be laterally braced at each top corner and at maximum 24 inches (6096 mm) intervals along the top plate of discontinuous vertical framing.

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands placed on buildings or structure in this region. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. Conventional framing does not address the need for a continuous load path, critical shear transfer mechanisms, connection-ties, irregular and flexible portions of complex shaped structures. The proposed modification to provide specific detailing requirements will improve the performance of buildings and structures and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

GG. Section 3101.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

SECTION 3101

GENERAL

3101.1 Scope. The provisions of this chapter shall govern special building construction including membrane structures, temporary structures, pedestrian walkways and tunnels, automatic vehicular gates, awnings and canopies, marquees, signs, towers, antennas, relocatable buildings, swimming pool enclosures and safety devices, and-solar energy systems, and intermodal shipping containers.

For purposes of this Section and Section 3114, intermodal shipping container is defined as follows:

INTERMODAL SHIPPING CONTAINER. A six-sided steel unit originally constructed as a general cargo container used for the transport of goods and materials.

HH. Section 3114 is added to the California Building Code to read as follows

SECTION 3114
INTERMODAL SHIPPING CONTAINERS

3114.1 General. The provisions of Section 3114 and other applicable sections of this code shall apply to intermodal shipping containers that are repurposed for use as buildings or structures or as a part of buildings or structures.

Exceptions:

1. Stationary storage battery arrays located in intermodal shipping containers complying with Chapter 12 of the California Fire Code.
2. Intermodal shipping containers that are listed as equipment complying with the standard for equipment, such as air chillers, engine generators, modular datacenters, and other similar equipment.
3. Intermodal shipping containers housing or supporting experimental equipment are exempt from the requirements of Section 3114 provided they comply with all of the following:
 - 3.1. Single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers shall be supported at grade level and used only for occupancies as specified under Risk Category I in Table 1604.5;
 - 3.2. Single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers shall be located a minimum of 8 feet from adjacent structures and are not connected to a fuel gas system or fuel gas utility; and
 - 3.3. In hurricane-prone regions and flood hazard areas, single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers are designed in accordance with the applicable provisions of Chapter 16.
4. Intermodal shipping containers approved as temporary structures complying with Section 3103.
5. Single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers used as temporary storage or construction trailer on active construction sites. Construction support

facilities for uses and activities not directly associated with the actual processes of construction, including but not limited to, offices, meeting rooms, plan rooms, other administrative or support functions shall not be exempt from Section 3114.

3114.2 Construction documents. The construction documents shall contain information to verify the dimensions and establish the physical properties of the steel components, and wood floor components, of the intermodal shipping container in addition to the information required by Sections 107 and 1603.

3114.3 Intermodal shipping container information. Intermodal shipping containers shall bear the manufacturer's existing data plate containing the following information as required by ISO 6346 and verified by an approved agency. A report of the verification process and findings shall be provided to the building owner.

1. Manufacturer's name or identification number
2. Date manufactured
3. Safety approval number
4. Identification number
5. Maximum operating gross mass or weight (kg) (lbs)
6. Allowable stacking load for 1.8G (kg) (lbs)
7. Transverse racking test force (Newtons)
8. Valid maintenance examination date

Where approved by the building official, the markings and manufacturer's existing data plate are permitted to be removed from the intermodal shipping containers before they are repurposed for use as buildings or structures or as part of buildings or structures.

3114.4 Protection against decay and termites. Wood structural floors of intermodal shipping containers shall be protected from decay and termites in accordance with the applicable provisions of Section 2304.12.1.1.

3114.5 Under-floor ventilation. The space between the bottom of the floor joists and the earth under any intermodal shipping container, except spaces occupied by basements and cellars, shall be provided with ventilation in accordance with Section 1202.4.

3114.6 Roof assemblies. Intermodal shipping container roof assemblies shall comply with the applicable requirements of Chapter 15.

Exception: Single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers not attached to, or stacked vertically over, other intermodal shipping containers, buildings or structures.

3114.7 Joints and voids. Joints and voids that create concealed spaces between intermodal shipping containers, that are connected or stacked, at fire-resistance-rated walls, floor or floor/ceiling assemblies and roofs or roof/ceiling assemblies shall be protected by an approved fire-resistant joint system in accordance with Section 715.

3114.8 Structural. Intermodal shipping containers that conform to ISO 1496-1 and are repurposed for use as buildings or structures, or as a part of buildings or structures, shall be designed in accordance with Chapter 16 and this section.

3114.8.1 Foundations. Intermodal shipping containers repurposed for use as a permanent building or structure shall be supported on foundations or other supporting structures designed and constructed in accordance with Chapters 16 through 23.

3114.8.1.1 Anchorage. Intermodal shipping containers shall be anchored to foundations or other supporting structures as necessary to provide a continuous load path for all applicable design and environmental loads in accordance with Chapter 16.

3114.8.2 Welds. All new welds and connections shall be equal to or greater than the original connections.

3114.8.3 Openings in containers. Where openings are made in container walls, floors, and roofs for doors, windows and other similar openings:

1. The openings shall be framed with steel elements that are designed in accordance with Chapter 16 and Chapter 22.
2. The cross section and material grade of any new steel element shall be equal to or greater than the steel element removed.

3114.8.4 Detailed structural design procedure. A structural analysis meeting the requirements of this section shall be provided to the building official to demonstrate the structural adequacy of the intermodal shipping containers.

Exception: Intermodal shipping containers that meet the limitation of Section 3114.8.5.1 and designed in accordance with the simplified procedure in Section 3114.8.5.

3114.8.4.1 Material properties. Structural material properties for existing intermodal shipping container steel components shall be established by material testing where the steel grade and composition cannot be identified by the manufacturer's designation as to manufacture and mill test.

3114.8.4.2 Seismic design parameters. The seismic force-resisting system shall be designed and detailed in accordance with one of the following:

1. Where all or portions of the intermodal shipping container sides are considered to be the seismic force-resisting system, design and detailing shall be in accordance with the ASCE 7 Table 12.2-1 requirements for light-frame bearing-wall systems with shear panels of all other materials,
2. Where portions of intermodal shipping container sides are retained, but are not considered to be the seismic force-resisting system, an independent seismic force-resisting system shall be selected, designed and detailed in accordance with ASCE 7 Table 12.2-1, or
3. Where portions of the intermodal shipping container sides are retained and integrated into a seismic force-resisting system other than as permitted by Section 3114.8.4.2 Item 1, seismic design parameters shall be developed from testing and analysis in accordance with Section 104.11 and ASCE 7 Section 12.2.1.1 or 12.2.1.2.

3114.8.4.3 Allowable shear value. The allowable shear values for the intermodal shipping container side walls and end walls shall be demonstrated by testing and analysis accordance with Section 104.11. Where penetrations are made in the side walls or end walls designated as part of the lateral force-resisting system, the penetrations shall be substantiated by rational analysis.

3114.8.5 Simplified structural design procedure of single-unit containers. Single-unit intermodal shipping containers conforming to the limitations of Section 3114.8.5.1 shall be permitted to be designed in accordance with Sections 3114.8.5.2 and 3114.8.5.3.

3114.8.5.1 Limitations. Use of Section 3114.8.5 is subject to all the following limitations:

1. The intermodal shipping container shall be a single stand-alone unit supported on a foundation and shall not be in contact with or supporting any other shipping container or other structure.
2. The intermodal shipping container's top and bottom rails, corner castings, and columns or any portion thereof shall not be notched, cut, or removed in any manner.
3. The intermodal shipping container shall be erected in a level and horizontal position with the floor located at the bottom.

3114.8.5.2 Structural design. Where permitted by Section 3114.8.5.1, single-unit stand-alone intermodal shipping containers shall be designed using the following assumptions for the side walls and end walls:

1. The appropriate detailing requirements contained in Chapters 16 through 23.
2. Response modification coefficient, $R = 2$,
3. Over strength factor, $\Omega_0 = 2.5$,
4. Deflection amplification factor, $C_d = 2$, and
5. Limits on structural height, $h_n = 9.5$ feet (2900 mm).

3114.8.5.3 Allowable shear value. The allowable shear values for the intermodal shipping container side walls (longitudinal) and end walls (transverse) for wind design and seismic design using the coefficients of Section 3114.8.5.2 shall be in accordance with Table 3114.8.5.3, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

1. The total linear length of all openings in any individual side walls or end walls shall be limited to not more than 50 percent of the length of that side walls or end walls, as shown in Figure 3114.8.5.3(1).
2. Any full height wall length, or portion thereof, less than 4 feet (305 mm) long shall not be considered as a portion of the lateral force-resisting system, as shown in Figure 3114.8.5.3(2).
3. All side walls or end walls used as part of the lateral force-resisting system shall have an existing or new boundary element on all sides to form a continuous load path, or paths, with adequate strength and stiffness to transfer all forces from the point of application to the final point of resistance, as shown in Figure 3114.8.5.3(3).
4. A maximum of one penetration not greater than a 6-inch (152 mm) diameter hole for conduits, pipes, tubes or vents, or not greater than 16 square inches (10 322mm²) for electrical boxes, is permitted for each individual 8 feet length (2438 mm) lateral force resisting wall. Penetrations located in walls that are not part of the wall lateral force resisting system shall not be limited in size or quantity. Existing intermodal shipping container's vents shall not be considered a penetration, as shown in Figure 3114.8.5.3(4).
5. End wall door or doors designated as part of the lateral force-resisting system shall be welded closed.

TABLE 3114.8.5.3
ALLOWABLE SHEAR VALUES FOR INTERMODAL SHIPPING CONTAINER
SIDE WALLS AND END WALLS FOR WIND OR SEISMIC LOADING

<u>CONTAINER DESIGNATION</u> ²	<u>CONTAINER DIMENSION</u> (Nominal Length)	<u>CONTAINER DIMENSION</u> (Nominal Height)	<u>ALLOWABLE VALUES</u> (PLF) ^{1,3}		<u>SHEAR</u>
			Side Wall	End Wall	
<u>1EEE</u>	45 feet (13.7 M)	<u>9.5 feet (2896 mm)</u>	75	843	
<u>1EE</u>		<u>8.6 feet (2591 mm)</u>			
<u>1AAA</u>	40 feet (12.2 M)	<u>9.5 feet (2896 mm)</u>	84		
<u>1AA</u>		<u>8.5 feet (2592 mm)</u>			
<u>1A</u>		<u>8.0 feet (2438 mm)</u>			
<u>1AX</u>		<u><8.0 feet (2483 mm)</u>			
<u>1BBB</u>	30 feet (9.1 M)	<u>9.5 feet (2896 mm)</u>	112		
<u>1BB</u>		<u>8.5 feet (2591 mm)</u>			
<u>1B</u>		<u>8.0 feet (2438 mm)</u>			
<u>1BX</u>		<u><8.0 feet (2438 mm)</u>			
<u>1CC</u>	20 feet (9.1 M)	<u>8.5 feet (2591 mm)</u>	168		
<u>1C</u>		<u>8.0 feet (2438 mm)</u>			
<u>1CX</u>		<u><8.0 feet (2438 mm)</u>			

1. The allowable strength for the side walls and end walls of the intermodal shipping containers are derived from ISO 1496-1 and reduced by a factor of safety of 5.
2. Container designation type is derived from ISO 668.
3. Limitations of Sections 3114.8.5.1 and 3114.8.5.3 shall apply.




FIGURE 3114.8.5.3(1)
Bracing Unit Distribution – Maximum Linear Length




FIGURE 3114.8.5.3(2)
Bracing Unit Distribution – Minimum Linear Length




FIGURE 3114.8.5.3(3)
Bracing Unit Distribution – Boundary Elements




FIGURE 3114.8.5.3(4)
Bracing Unit Distribution – Penetrating Limitations

- II. Chapter 35, Referenced Standards of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

CHAPTER 35 REFERENCED STANDARDS

ISO	International Organization for Standardization ISO Central Secretariat 1 ch, de la Voie-Creuse, Casa Postale 566 CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	
Standard Reference Number	Title	Referenced in code section number
<u>ISO 1496-1:2013</u>	<u>Series 1 Freight Containers – Specification and Testing – Part 1: General Cargo Containers for General Purposes</u>	<u>3114.8, Table 3114.8.5.3</u>
<u>ISO 6346:1995 with Amendment 3:2012</u>	<u>Freight Containers – Coding, Identification and marking</u>	<u>3114.3</u>
<u>ISO 668:2013</u>	<u>Series 1 Freight Containers – Classifications, dimensions and ratings.</u>	<u>Table 3114.8.5.3</u>

The following relate to all sections related to intermodal shipping containers:

RATIONALE:

Due to the US trade deficit with other countries, there is an abundant supply of unused intermodal shipping containers, particularly in jurisdictions that have ports, that have created negative impacts on the environment. This results in more materials that are not recycled and increases energy consumption required to melt down and recycle others that are. The repurposing of existing intermodal shipping containers will help to reduce the environmental impact and improve the sustainability of the community by being less reliant on traditional construction material.

This amendment is based on a similar code provision adopted into the 2021 Edition of the International Building Code. It is intended to assist code officials address the environmental impact of unused materials, reduce consumption of traditional raw materials, minimize non-industrial wastes, and ensure minimum design and safety standards associated with the repurposing of existing intermodal shipping containers as buildings or structures or component of buildings and structures are achieved.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The region is further impacted by construction of buildings and structures utilizing tradition construction materials that impact the amount of energy, air quality, greenhouse gas emission and construction

waste in the area. The proposed amendment addresses structural designs specific to intermodal shipping containers, reduce environmental impact of unused and unrecycled intermodal shipping containers, and increase sustainability by reducing consumption of traditional construction materials. The proposed modification needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings utilizing intermodal shipping containers are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code and California Green Building Standards Code.

JJ. Section F101.7 is added to Appendix F of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

F101.7 Rodent proofing. Annular spaces around pipes, electric cables, conduits or other openings in exterior walls shall be protected against the passage of rodents and insects by closing such openings with a method acceptable to the enforcing agency.
CalGreen 4.406.1

RATIONALE:

Not every project is covered by the California Green standards Code. Requirements preventing of the entry of birds, squirrels, rodents, snakes, insects and other similar creatures is not clear in the California Building Code.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – The City of Gardena is located near county storm water systems and a wetland preserve. The creeks and wetland areas harbor birds, squirrels, rodents, snakes and other similar creatures.

SECTION 15.04.040 Amendment of Part 2.5, California Residential Code

A. Section R105.2, Building, of Chapter 1 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows, all other subsections of Section R105.2 remain the same:

Section R105.2, Work Exempt From Permit. Exemption from permit requirements of this code shall not be deemed to grant authorization for any work to be done in any manner I violation of the provisions of this code or any other laws or ordinances of this jurisdiction. Permits shall not be required for the following:

Building

1. One-story Detached Accessory Structures, used as tool and storage sheds, playhouses and similar uses provided the floor area does not exceed 120 square feet, with three feet clear from a building or property line and conforming to the zoning requirements of the Gardena Municipal Code.
2. [deleted].

3. [deleted].
4. Water tanks supported directly on grade if the capacity is not greater than 5,000 gallons (18,925 L) and the ratio of height to diameter or width is not greater than 2:1.
5. Sidewalks and driveways not more than 30 inches (762) mm above adjacent grade, and not over any basement or story below and are not part of an accessible route.
6. Painting, papering, floor and wall tiling – excluding showers and wet areas, carpeting, cabinets, counter tops not containing plumbing or electrical fixtures, and similar finish work.
7. Prefabricated swimming pools that are less than 24 inches deep.
8. Swings and other playground equipment accessory to detached one- and two-family dwellings.
9. Window awnings supported by an exterior wall that do not project more than 54-inches from the exterior wall and do not require additional support.
10. Decks not exceeding 200 Sq. Ft. in area. And not over 30 inches above grade and not attached to a structure, or serving any part of the means of egress.

B. Section R105.5 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R105.5 Expiration. Every permit issued shall become invalid unless the work on the site authorized by such permit is commenced within ~~480~~ 360 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized on the site by such permit is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the time the work is commenced. The building official is authorized to grant one extension of time for period of not more than 180 days. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Extensions shall only be granted prior to the expiration of a permit.

C. Section R107.5.1 is added to the California Residential Code to read as follows:

R107.5.1 Retention of Construction Documents. Per Building Standards Law, Health and Safety Code Sections 19850 and 19851 the City is required to retain a copy of these plans. The light or dark graphics and/or text will not allow for scanning. Text shall be 7/64" or 10 point, minimum, in height and legible.

D. Section R301.1.3.2 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R301.1.3.2 Woodframe structures greater than two stories. ~~. The building official shall require construction documents to be approved and stamped by a California licensed architect or engineer for all dwellings of woodframe construction more than two stories and basement in height located in Seismic Design Category A, B or C.~~ The building official shall require construction documents to be approved and stamped by a California licensed architect or engineer for all dwellings of wood frame construction more than ~~two stories~~ and one story in height or with a basement located in Seismic Design Category D0, D1, D2 or E. Notwithstanding other sections of law, the law establishing these provisions is found in Business and Professions Code Sections 5537 and 6737.1.

E. Table R301.2(1) of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

TABLE R301.2(1)

CLIMATIC AND GEOGRAPHIC DESIGN CRITERIA

GROUND SNOW LOAD	WIND DESIGN		SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	<i>amended</i>			<i>Amended</i>	<i>Amended</i>	<i>Amended</i>	AIR FREEZING INDEX	MEAN ANNUAL TEMP
	Speed (mph)	Topographic effects		Weathering	Frost line Depth	Termite	WINTER DESIGN TEMP	ICE BARRIER UNDER- LAYMENT REQUIRED	FLOOD HAZARDS		
Zero	110	No	D ₂ or E	Negligible	12-24"	Very Heavy	43	No	See Exhibit B	0	60

Note: All footnotes deleted.

RATIONALE:

After the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, the Wood Frame Construction Joint Task Force recommended that the quality of wood frame construction need to be greatly improved. One such recommendation identified by the Task Force is to improve the quality and organization of structural plans prepared by the engineer or architect so that plan examiners, building inspectors, contractors and special inspectors may logically follow and construct the presentation of the seismic force-resisting systems in the construction documents. For buildings or structures located in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, or D₂ that are subject to a greater level of seismic forces, the requirement to have a California licensed architect or engineer prepare the construction documents is intended to minimize or reduce structural deficiencies that may cause excessive damage or injuries in wood frame buildings. Structural deficiencies such as plan and vertical irregularities, improper shear transfer of the seismic force-resisting system, missed details or connections important to the structural system, and the improper application of the prescriptive requirements of the California Residential Code can be readily addressed by a registered design professional.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing

major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification to require construction documents for wood frame construction greater than one story in height or with a basement to be approved and stamped by a California licensed architect or engineer is intended to assure that both the structural design and prescriptive requirement of the code are properly utilized and presented and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures, and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

F. Items 1, 3 and 5 of Section R301.2.2.6 of the California Residential Code are amended to read as follows:

1. **Shear wall or braced wall offsets out of plane.** Conditions where exterior shear wall lines or braced wall panels are not in one plane vertically from the foundation to the uppermost story in which they are required.

Exception: ~~For wood light frame construction, floors with cantilevers or setbacks not exceeding four times the nominal depth of the wood floor joists are permitted to support braced wall panels that are out of plane with braced wall panels below provided that all of the following are satisfied:~~

- ~~1. Floor joists are nominal 2 inches by 10 inches (51 mm by 254 mm) or larger and spaced not more than 16 inches (406 mm) on center.~~
 - ~~2. The ratio of the back span to the cantilever is not less than 2 to 1.~~
 - ~~3. Floor joists at ends of braced wall panels are doubled.~~
 - ~~4. For wood frame construction, a continuous rim joist is connected to ends of cantilever joists. When spliced, the rim joists shall be spliced using a galvanized metal tie not less than 0.058 inch (1.5 mm) (16 gage) and 1 1/2 inches (38 mm) wide fastened with six 16d nails on each side of the splice or a block of the same size as the rim joist of sufficient length to fit securely between the joist space at which the splice occurs fastened with eight 16d nails on each side of the splice; and~~
 - ~~5. Gravity loads carried at the end of cantilevered joists are limited to uniform wall and roof loads and the reactions from headers having a span of 8 feet (2438 mm) or less.~~
3. **Shear wall or braced wall offsets in plane.** Conditions where the end of a braced wall panel occurs over an opening in the wall below, ~~and ends at a horizontal distance greater than 1 foot (305 mm) from the edge of the opening. This provision is applicable to shear walls and braced wall panels offset in plane and to braced wall panels offset out of plane as permitted by the exception to item 1.~~

Exception: ~~For wood light frame wall construction, one end of a braced wall panel shall be permitted to extend more than one foot (305 mm) over an opening not more than 8 feet (2438 mm) in width in the wall below provided that the opening includes a header in accordance with all of the following:~~

- ~~1. The building width, loading condition and framing member species limitations of Table R602.7(1) shall apply.~~
 - ~~2. The header is composed of:~~
 - ~~2.1 Not less than one 2x12 or two 2x10 for an opening not more than 4 feet (1219 mm) wide.~~
 - ~~2.2 Not less than two 2x12 or three 2x10 for an opening not more than 6 feet (1829 mm) in width.~~
 - ~~2.3 Not less than three 2x12 or four 2x10 for an opening not more than 8 feet (2438 mm) in width.~~
 - ~~3. The entire length of the braced wall panel does not occur over an opening in the wall below.~~
5. **Floor level offset.** Conditions where portions of a floor level are vertically offset.
- Exceptions:**
- ~~1. Framing supported directly by continuous foundations at the perimeter of the building.~~
 - ~~3. For wood light frame construction, floors shall be permitted to be vertically offset where the floor framing is lapped or tied together as required by section R502.6.1.~~

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, precautionary steps are proposed to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result by limiting the type of irregular conditions specified in the California Residential Code. Such limitations are intended to reduce the potential structural damage expected in the event of an earthquake. The cities and county of the Los Angeles region has taken extra measures to maintain the structural integrity of the framing of the shear walls and all associated elements when designed for high levels of seismic loads.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed amendment limits the type of irregular conditions within buildings that may lead to higher structural damage during a seismic event and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are

designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code and consistent with the requirements in the ASCE 7-16.

G. Section R301.2.2.11 is added to Chapter 3 of the California Residential Code to read as follows:

R301.2.2.11 Anchorage of mechanical, electrical, or plumbing components and equipment. Mechanical, electrical, or plumbing components and equipment shall be anchored to the structure. Anchorage of the components and equipment shall be designed to resist loads in accordance with the California Building Code and ASCE 7, except where the component is positively attached to the structure and flexible connections are provided between the component and associated ductwork, piping, and conduit; and either

1. The component weighs 400 lb (1,780 N) or less and has a center of mass located 4 ft (1.22 m) or less above the supporting structure; or
2. The component weighs 20 lb (89N) or less or, in the case of a distributed system, 5 lb/ft (73 N/m) or less.

RATIONALE:

There is no limitation for weight of mechanical and plumbing fixtures and equipment in the California Residential Code. Requirements from ASCE 7 and the California Building Code would permit equipment weighing up to 400 lbs. when mounted at 4 feet or less above the floor or attic level without engineering design. Where equipment exceeds this requirement, it is the intent of this proposed amendment that a registered design professional be required to analyze if the floor support is adequate and structurally sound.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification to limit the equipment weight is intended to reduce injuries, save lives, and minimize structural damages and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

H. Section R313.1 of Chapter 3 of the California Residential Code is deleted and a new Section R313.1 is added to read as follows:

R313.1 Townhouse automatic fire sprinklers systems. An automatic residential fire sprinkler system installed in Townhouses as follows:

New buildings: An automatic sprinkler system shall be installed throughout all new buildings.

Existing buildings:

An automatic sprinkler system shall be installed throughout when the building area exceeds 3,600 square feet and when one of the following conditions exists:

1. When an addition is 50% or more of the existing building area, as defined in Section 502.1, within a two year period; or
2. An addition when the existing building is already provided with automatic sprinklers; or
3. When an existing Group R occupancy is being substantially renovated, and where the scope of the renovation is such that the Building Official determines that the complexity of installing a sprinkler system would be similar as in a new building.

- I. Section R313.2 of Chapter 3 of the California Residential Code is deleted and a new Section R313.2 is added to read as follows:

R313.2 One- and two-family dwellings automatic fire sprinklers systems.

An automatic residential fire sprinkler system installed in one and two family dwellings as follows:

New buildings: An automatic sprinkler system shall be installed throughout all new buildings.

Existing buildings: An automatic sprinkler system shall be installed throughout when the building area exceeds 3,600 square feet and when one of the following conditions exists:

1. When an addition is 50% or more of the existing building area, as defined in Section 502.1, within a two year period; or
2. An addition when the existing building is already provided with automatic sprinklers; or
3. When an existing Group R Occupancy is being substantially renovated, and where the scope of the renovation is such that the Building Code Official determines that the complexity of installing a sprinkler system would be similar as in a new building.
4. Accessory Dwelling Units do not need automatic residential fire sprinkler systems, If the primary residence is exempt.

- K. Section R401.1 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R401.1 Application. ~~The provisions of this chapter shall control the design and construction of the foundation and foundation spaces for buildings. In addition to the provisions of this chapter, the design and construction of foundations in flood hazard areas as established by Table R301.2(1) shall meet the provisions of Section R322. Wood foundations shall be designed and installed in accordance with AWC PWF.~~

Exception: ~~The provisions of this chapter shall be permitted to be used for wood foundations only in the following situations:~~

- ~~1. In buildings that have no more than two floors and a roof.~~
- ~~2. When interior basement and foundation walls are constructed at intervals not exceeding 50 feet (15 240 mm).~~

Wood foundations in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E or F shall be designed in accordance with accepted engineering practice not be permitted.

Exception: In non-occupied, single-story, detached storage sheds and similar uses other than carport or garage, provided the gross floor area does not exceed 200 square feet, the plate height does not exceed 12 feet in height above the grade plane at any point, and the maximum roof projection does not exceed 24 inches.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood foundation is effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effect of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood foundation, when they are not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly and have led to slope failures. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic event and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result in using wood foundation that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and pests. However, an exception is made for non-occupied, single-story storage structures that pose significantly less risk to human safety and may utilize the wood foundation guidelines specified in this Chapter. This proposed amendment is a continuation of an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles for the California Residential Code.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood foundation systems as well as limit prescriptive design provisions in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new

buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

- L. Sections R403.1.2, R403.1.3.6 and R403.1.5 of the California Residential Code are amended to read as follows:

R403.1.2 Continuous footing in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁, and D₂, E and F. ~~Exterior walls of buildings located in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁, and D₂, E and F, shall be supported by continuous solid or fully grouted masonry or concrete footings. Other footing materials or systems shall be designed in accordance with accepted engineering practice. All required interior braced wall panels in buildings located in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁ and D₂ with plan dimensions greater than 50 feet (15 240 mm) shall be supported by continuous solid or fully grouted masonry or concrete footings in accordance with Section R403.1.3.4, except for two-story buildings in Seismic Design Category D₂, in which all braced wall panels, interior and exterior, shall be supported on continuous foundations.~~


Exception: ~~Two-story buildings shall be permitted to have interior braced wall panels supported on continuous foundations at intervals not exceeding 50 feet (15 240 mm) provided that:~~

- ~~1. The height of cripple walls does not exceed 4 feet (1219 mm).~~
- ~~2. First-floor braced wall panels are supported on doubled floor joists, continuous blocking or floor beams.~~
- ~~3. The distance between bracing lines does not exceed twice the building width measured parallel to the braced wall line.~~

R403.1.3.6 Isolated concrete footings. ~~In detached one- and two-family dwellings located in Seismic Design Category A, B, or C, that are three stories or less in height, and constructed with stud-bearing walls, isolated plain concrete footings supporting columns or pedestals are permitted. Isolated Concrete footings are not permitted in Seismic Zones D, E, and F.~~

R403.1.5 Slope. The top surface of footings shall be level. The bottom surface of footings shall not have a slope exceeding one unit vertical in 10 units horizontal (10-percent slope). Footings shall be stepped where it is necessary to change the elevation of the top surface of the footings or where the slope of the bottom surface of the footings will exceed one unit vertical in 10 units horizontal (10-percent slope).

For structures assigned to Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁ or D₂, stepped footings shall be reinforced with four No. 4 rebar. Two bars shall be placed at the top and bottom of the footings as shown in Figure R403.1.5.



STEPPED FOUNDATIONS

FIGURE R403.1.5
STEPPED FOOTING

RATIONALE:

With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, precautionary steps are proposed to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result for under-reinforced footings located on sloped surfaces. Requiring minimum reinforcement for stepped footings is intended to address the problem of poor performance of plain or under-reinforced footings during a seismic event. Furthermore, interior walls can easily be called upon to resist over half of the seismic loading imposed on simple buildings or structures. Without a continuous foundation to support the braced wall line, seismic loads would be transferred through other elements such as non-structural concrete slab floors, wood floors, etc. The proposed change is to limit the use of the exception to structures assigned to Seismic Design Category A, B or C where lower seismic demands are expected. Requiring interior braced walls be supported by continuous foundations is intended to reduce or eliminate the poor performance of buildings or structures. This proposed amendment is consistent with an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles for the California Residential Code.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification to require continuous footings under braced wall lines, require reinforcement in one- and two-family dwelling, and minimum reinforcement in stepped footings will improve performance of buildings or structure during a seismic event and minimize potential problems or deficiencies and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

M. Section R404.2 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R404.2 Wood foundation walls. Wood foundation walls shall be constructed in accordance with the provisions of Sections R404.2.1 through R404.2.6 and with the details shown in Figures R403.1(2) and R403.1(3). Wood foundation walls shall not be used for structures located in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁, D₂, E, and F.

RATIONALE:

No substantiating data has been provided to show that wood foundation wall is effective in supporting buildings and structures during a seismic event while being subject to deterioration caused by the combined detrimental effect of constant moisture in the soil and wood-destroying organisms. Wood foundation walls, when they are not properly treated and protected against deterioration, have performed very poorly and have led to slope failures. Most contractors are typically accustomed to construction in dry and temperate weather in the Southern California region and are not generally familiar with the necessary precautions and treatment of wood that makes it suitable for both seismic event and wet applications. The proposed amendment takes the precautionary steps to reduce or eliminate potential problems that may result in using wood foundation walls that experience relatively rapid decay due to the fact that the region does not experience temperatures cold enough to destroy or retard the growth and proliferation of wood-destroying organisms. This proposed amendment is consistent with an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles for the California Residential Code.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic and Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. In addition, the region is within a climate system capable of producing major winds, fire and rain related disasters, including but not limited to those caused by the Santa Ana winds and El Nino (or La Nina) subtropical-like weather. This region is especially susceptible to more active termite and wood attacking insects and microorganisms. The proposed modification to prohibit the use of wood foundation wall in an effort to mitigate potential problems or deficiencies due to the proliferation of wood-destroying organisms and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

N. Section R501.1 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R501.1 Application. The provisions of this chapter shall control the design and construction of the floors for buildings, including the floors of attic spaces used to house mechanical or plumbing fixtures and equipment. Mechanical or plumbing fixtures and equipment shall be attached or anchored to the structure in accordance with Section R301.2.2.11.

RATIONALE:

There is no limitation for weight of mechanical and plumbing fixtures and equipment in the California Residential Code. Requirements from ASCE 7 and the California Building


Code would permit equipment weighing up to 400 lbs. when mounted at 4 feet or less above the floor or attic level without engineering design. Where equipment exceeds this requirement, it is the intent of this proposed amendment that a registered design professional is required to analyze if the floor support is adequate and structurally sound.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification to limit the equipment weight is intended to reduce injuries, save lives, and minimize structural damages and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

- O. Section R503.2.4 is added to Chapter 5 of the California Residential Code to read as follows:

R503.2.4 Openings in horizontal diaphragms. Openings in horizontal diaphragms with a dimension perpendicular to the joist that is greater than 4 feet (1.2 m) shall be constructed in accordance with Figure R503.2.4.



For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

- a. Blockings shall be provided beyond headers.

- b. Metal ties not less than 0.058 inch [1.47 mm (16 galvanized gage)] by 1.5 inches (38 mm) wide with eight 16d common nails on each side of the header-joist intersection. The metal ties shall have a minimum yield of 33,000 psi (227 MPa).
- c. Openings in diaphragms shall be further limited in accordance with Section R301.2.2.6.

FIGURE R503.2.4
OPENINGS IN HORIZONTAL DIAPHRAGMS

RATIONALE:

Section R502.10 of the Code does not provide any prescriptive criteria to limit the maximum floor opening size nor does Section R503 provide any details to address the issue of shear transfer near larger floor openings. With the higher seismic demand placed on buildings and structures in this region, it is important to ensure that a complete load path is provided to reduce or eliminate potential damages caused by seismic forces. Requiring blocking with metal ties around larger floor openings and limiting opening size is consistent with the requirements of Section R301.2.2.6.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and E. The proposed modification to require specific detailing at large floor openings is intended to address the poor performance of floor diaphragms with openings and limit or reduce property damages during a seismic event and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

- P. Lines 19, 20, 23, and 33 - 36 of Table R602.3(1) of the California Residential Code are amended to read as follows:

TABLE R602.3(1)—continued
FASTENING SCHEDULE

ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING ELEMENTS	NUMBER AND TYPE OF FASTENER ^{a, b, c}	SPACING AND LOCATION
19 ^k	1" x 6" sheathing to each bearing	3-8d box (2 ¹ / ₂ " x 0.113"); or 2-8d common (2 ¹ / ₂ " x 0.131"); or 2-10d box (3" x 0.128"); or 2 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 1³/₄" long	Face nail

20 ^k	1" × 8" and wider sheathing to each bearing	3-8d box (2½" × 0.113"); or 3-8d common (2½" × 0.131"); or 3-10d box (3" × 0.128"); or 3 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 1¾" long Wider than 1" × 8" 4-8d box (2½" × 0.113"); or 3-8d common (2½" × 0.131"); or 3-10d box (3" × 0.128"); or 4 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 1¾" long	Face nail	
Floor				
23 ^k	1" × 6" subfloor or less to each joist	3-8d box (2½" × 0.113"); or 2-8d common (2½" × 0.131"); or 3-10d box (3" × 0.128"); or 2 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 1¾" long	Face nail	
Other wall sheathing ⁹				
33 ^k	½" structural cellulosic fiberboard sheathing	1½" galvanized roofing nail, ⅞" head diameter, or 1¼" long 16 ga. staple with ⅞" or 1" crown	3	6
34 ^k	25/32" structural cellulosic fiberboard sheathing	1¾" galvanized roofing nail, ⅞" head diameter, or 1½" long 16 ga. staple with ⅞" or 1" crown	3	6
35 ^k	½" gypsum sheathing ^d	1½" galvanized roofing nail; staple galvanized, 1½" long; 1¼" screws, Type W or S	7	7
36 ^k	5/8" gypsum sheathing ^d	1¾" galvanized roofing nail; staple galvanized, 1⅝" long; 1⅝" screws, Type W or S	7	7

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 mile per hour = 0.447 m/s; 1 ksi = 6.895 MPa.

**TABLE R602.3(1)—
FASTENING SCHEDULE**

- Nails are smooth-common, box or deformed shanks except where otherwise stated. Nails used for framing and sheathing connections shall have minimum average bending yield strengths as shown: 80 ksi for shank diameter of 0.192 inch (20d common nail), 90 ksi for shank diameters larger than 0.142 inch but not larger than 0.177 inch, and 100 ksi for shank diameters of 0.142 inch or less.
- ~~Staples are 16 gage wire and have a minimum 7/16-inch on diameter crown width.~~
- Nails shall be spaced at not more than 6 inches on center at all supports where spans are 48 inches or greater.

- d. Four-foot by 8-foot or 4-foot by 9-foot panels shall be applied vertically.
- e. Spacing of fasteners not included in this table shall be based on Table R602.3(2).
- f. For wood structural panel roof sheathing attached to gable end roof framing and to intermediate supports within 48 inches of roof edges and ridges, nails shall be spaced at 6 inches on center where the ultimate design wind speed is less than 130 mph and shall be spaced 4 inches on center where the ultimate design wind speed is 130 mph or greater but less than 140 mph.
- g. Gypsum sheathing shall conform to ASTM C1396 and shall be installed in accordance with GA 253. Fiberboard sheathing shall conform to ASTM C208.
- h. Spacing of fasteners on floor sheathing panel edges applies to panel edges supported by framing members and required blocking and at floor perimeters only. Spacing of fasteners on roof sheathing panel edges applies to panel edges supported by framing members and required blocking. Blocking of roof or floor sheathing panel edges perpendicular to the framing members need not be provided except as required by other provisions of this code. Floor perimeter shall be supported by framing members or solid blocking.
- i. Where a rafter is fastened to an adjacent parallel ceiling joist in accordance with this schedule, provide two toe nails on one side of the rafter and toe nails from the ceiling joist to top plate in accordance with this schedule. The toe nail on the opposite side of the rafter shall not be required.
- j. RSRS-01 is a Roof Sheathing Ring Shank nail meeting the specifications in ASTM F1667.
- k. Use of staples in braced wall panels shall be prohibited in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E and F.

RATIONALE:

The Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the damages to buildings and structures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake recommended reducing allowable shear values in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms that were not substantiated by cyclic testing. That recommendation was consistent with a report to the Governor from the Seismic Safety Commission of the State of California recommending that code requirements be "more thoroughly substantiated with testing." The allowable shear values for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms fastened with staples are based on monotonic testing and does not take into consideration that earthquake forces load shear wall or diaphragm in a repeating and fully reversible manner.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with staples would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with staples appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended

that the use of staples as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms – as well as other sheathing materials - not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E or F unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on staples as fasteners used in wood structural panel or diaphragms not substantiated with cyclic testing will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

Q. Exception of Section R602.3.2 and Table R602.3.2 of the California Residential Code are amended to read as follows:

Exception: A-In Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂ E or F a single top plate shall not be used as an alternative to a double top plate shall comply with the following:

1. The single top plate shall be tied at corners, intersecting walls, and at in-line splices in straight wall lines in accordance with Table R602.3.2.
2. The rafters or joists shall be centered over the studs with a tolerance of not more than 1 inch (25 mm).
3. Omission of the top plate is permitted over headers where the headers are adequately tied to adjacent wall sections in accordance with Table R602.3.2.

**TABLE R602.3.2
SINGLE TOP-PLATE SPLICE CONNECTION DETAILS**

CONDITION	TOP-PLATE SPLICE LOCATION			
	Corners and intersecting walls		Butt joints in straight walls	
	Splice plate size	Minimum nails each side of joint	Splice plate size	Minimum nails each side of joint
Structures in SDG A, C, and in SDG D ₀ , D ₁ , and D ₂ with braced wall line spacing less than 25 feet	3" x 8" x 0.036" galvanized steel plate or equivalent	(6) 8d box (2 1/2" x 0.113") nails	3" x 12" x 0.036" galvanized steel plate or equivalent	(14) 8d box (2 1/2" x 0.113") nails
Structures in SDG D ₀ , D ₁ , and D ₂ with braced wall line spacing greater than or equal to 25 feet	3" x 8" by 0.036" galvanized steel plate or equivalent	(4) 8d box (2 1/2" x 0.113") nails	3" x 16" x 0.036" galvanized steel plate or equivalent	(18) 8d box (2 1/2" x 0.113") nails

For S): 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

RATIONALE:

The City of Gardena has taken extra measures to maintain the structural integrity of the framing of the shear wall system for buildings and structures subject to high seismic loads by eliminating single top plate construction. The performance of modern day braced wall panel construction is directly related to an adequate load path extending from the roof diaphragm to the foundation system. A single top plate is likely to be over nailed due to

the nailing requirements at a rafter, stud, top plate splice, and braced wall panel edge in a single location. In addition, notching on a single top plate for plumbing, ventilation and electrical wiring may reduce the load transfer capacity of the plate without proper detailing. A majority of buildings and structures designed and built per the California Residential Code with a single top plate may not need structural observation and special inspections. The potential construction mistakes mentioned above could not be caught and corrected by knowledgeable engineers and inspectors, and could jeopardize structural performance of buildings and structures located in high seismic areas.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to eliminate the usage of a single top plate will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

R. Footnote "b" of Table R602.3(2) of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

~~b. Staples shall have a minimum crown width of 7/16 inch on diameter except as noted. Use of staples in roof, floor, subfloor, and braced wall panels shall be prohibited in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E or F.~~

RATIONALE:

The Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the damages to buildings and structures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake recommended reducing allowable shear values in wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms that were not substantiated by cyclic testing. That recommendation was consistent with a report to the Governor from the Seismic Safety Commission of the State of California recommending that code requirements be "more thoroughly substantiated with testing." The allowable shear values for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms fastened with staples are based on monotonic testing and does not take into consideration that earthquake forces load shear wall or diaphragm in a repeating and fully reversible manner.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with staples would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with staples appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended

that the use of staples as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms – as well as other sheathing materials - not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E or F unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on staples as fasteners used in wood structural panel or diaphragms not substantiated with cyclic testing will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

S. Section R602.10.2.3 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R602.10.2.3 Minimum number of braced wall panels. Braced wall lines with a length of 16 feet (4877 mm) or less shall have not less than two braced wall panels of any length or one braced wall panel equal to 48 inches (1219 mm) or more. Braced wall lines greater than 16 feet (4877 mm) shall have not less than two braced wall panels. No braced wall panel shall be less than 48 inches in length in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁, D₂, E or F.

RATIONALE:

Plywood shear walls with high aspect ratio experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies a minimum braced wall length to meet an aspect ratio consistent with other sections of the California Residential Code as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment is consistent with an amendment adopted during previous code adoption cycles for the California Residential Code.







FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification reduces the aspect ratio help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of

structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.







T. Table R602.10.3(3) of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

TABLE R602.10.3(3)
BRACING REQUIREMENTS BASED ON SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SOIL CLASS D* • WALL HEIGHT = 10 FEET • 10 PSF FLOOR DEAD LOAD • 15 PSF ROOF/CEILING DEAD LOAD • BRACED WALL LINE SPACING ≤ 25 FEET 			MINIMUM TOTAL LENGTH (FEET) OF BRACED WALL PANELS REQUIRED ALONG EACH BRACED WALL LINE ^a				
Seismic Design Category	Story Location	Braced Wall Line Length (feet) ^c	Method LIB ^a	Method GB ^a	Methods DWB, SFB, PBS, PCP, HPS, CS-SFB ^{a, d}	Method WSP	Methods CS-WSP, CS-G, CS-PF
C (townhouses only)		10	2.5	2.5	2.5	1.6	1.4
		20	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.2	2.7
		30	7.5	7.5	7.5	4.8	4.1
		40	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.4	5.4
		50	12.5	12.5	12.5	8.0	6.8
		10	NP	4.5	4.5	3.0	2.6
		20	NP	9.0	9.0	6.0	5.1
		30	NP	13.5	13.5	9.0	7.7
		40	NP	18.0	18.0	12.0	10.2
		50	NP	22.5	22.5	15.0	12.8
		10	NP	6.0	6.0	4.5	3.8
		20	NP	12.0	12.0	9.0	7.7
		30	NP	18.0	18.0	13.5	11.5
		40	NP	24.0	24.0	18.0	15.3
		50	NP	30.0	30.0	22.5	19.1
D ₀		10	NP	2.5 5.6	2.5 5.6	1.8	1.6
		20	NP	5.0 11.0	5.0 11.0	3.6	3.1
		30	NP	7.5 16.6	7.5 16.6	5.4	4.6
		40	NP	10.0 22.0	10.0 22.0	7.2	6.1
		50	NP	12.5 27.6	12.5 27.6	9.0	7.7
		10	NP	4.5 NP	4.5 NP	3.8	3.2
		20	NP	9.0 NP	9.0 NP	7.5	6.4
		30	NP	13.5 NP	13.5 NP	11.3	9.6
		40	NP	18.0 NP	18.0 NP	15.0	12.8
		50	NP	22.5 NP	22.5 NP	18.8	16.0
		10	NP	6.0 NP	6.0 NP	5.3	4.5
		20	NP	12.0 NP	12.0 NP	10.5	9.0
		30	NP	18.0 NP	18.0 NP	15.8	13.4
		40	NP	24.0 NP	24.0 NP	21.0	17.9
		50	NP	30.0 NP	30.0 NP	26.3	22.3

(continued)

TABLE R602.10.3(3)—continued
BRACING REQUIREMENTS BASED ON SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY

• SOIL CLASS D ^a • WALL HEIGHT ≤ 10 FEET • 10 PSF FLOOR DEAD LOAD • 15 PSF ROOF/CEILING DEAD LOAD • BRACED WALL LINE SPACING ≤ 25 FEET			MINIMUM TOTAL LENGTH (FEET) OF BRACED WALL PANELS REQUIRED ALONG EACH BRACED WALL LINE ^{a,c}				
Seismic Design Category	Story Location	Braced Wall Line Length (feet) ^c	Method L1B ^d	Method GB ^e	Methods DWB, SFB, PBS, PCP, HPS, CS-SFB ^f	Method WSP	Methods CS-WSP, CS-G, CS-PF
D ₁		10	NP	3.0 6.0	3.0 6.0	2.0	1.7
		20	NP	6.0 12.0	6.0 12.0	4.0	3.4
		30	NP	9.0 18.0	9.0 18.0	6.0	5.1
		40	NP	12.0 24.0	12.0 24.0	8.0	6.8
		50	NP	15.0 30.0	15.0 30.0	10.0	8.5
		10	NP	6.0 NP	6.0 NP	4.5	3.8
		20	NP	12.0 NP	12.0 NP	9.0	7.7
		30	NP	18.0 NP	18.0 NP	13.5	11.5
		40	NP	24.0 NP	24.0 NP	18.0	15.3
		50	NP	30.0 NP	30.0 NP	22.5	19.1
		10	NP	8.5 NP	8.5 NP	6.0	5.1
		20	NP	17.0 NP	17.0 NP	12.0	10.2
		30	NP	25.5 NP	25.5 NP	18.0	15.3
		40	NP	34.0 NP	34.0 NP	24.0	20.4
		50	NP	42.5 NP	42.5 NP	30.0	25.5
D ₂		10	NP	4.0 8.0	4.0 8.0	2.5	2.1
		20	NP	8.0 16.0	8.0 16.0	5.0	4.3
		30	NP	12.0 24.0	12.0 24.0	7.5	6.4
		40	NP	16.0 32.0	16.0 32.0	10.0	8.5
		50	NP	20.0 40.0	20.0 40.0	12.5	10.6
		10	NP	7.5 NP	7.5 NP	5.5	4.7
		20	NP	15.0 NP	15.0 NP	11.0	9.4
		30	NP	22.5 NP	22.5 NP	16.5	14.0
		40	NP	30.0 NP	30.0 NP	22.0	18.7
		50	NP	37.5 NP	37.5 NP	27.5	23.4
		10	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
		20	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
		30	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
		40	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
		50	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
	Cripple wall below one- or two-story dwelling	10	NP	NP	NP	7.5	6.4
		20	NP	NP	NP	15.0	12.8
		30	NP	NP	NP	22.5	19.1
		40	NP	NP	NP	30.0	25.5
		50	NP	NP	NP	37.5	31.9

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 pound per square foot = 0.0479 kPa.

NP = Not Permitted.

- Linear interpolation shall be permitted.
- Wall bracing lengths are based on a soil site class "D." Interpolation of bracing length between the S_d s values associated with the seismic design categories shall be permitted when a site-specific S_d s value is determined in accordance with Section 1613.2 of the *California Building Code*.
- Where the braced wall line length is greater than 50 feet, braced wall lines shall be permitted to be divided into shorter segments having lengths of 50 feet or less, and the amount of bracing within each segment shall be in accordance with this table.

- d. Method LIB shall have gypsum board fastened to not less than one side with nails or screws in accordance with Table R602.3(1) for exterior sheathing or Table R702.3.5 for interior gypsum board. Spacing of fasteners at panel edges shall not exceed 8 inches.
- e. Methods PFG and CS-SFB do not apply in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁ and D₂.
- f. Where more than one bracing method is used, mixing methods shall be in accordance with Section R602.10.4.1.
- g. Methods GB and PCP braced wall panel h/w ratio shall not exceed 1:1 in SDC D₀, D₁ and D₂. Methods DVB, SFB, PBS, and HPS are not permitted in D₀, D₁ and D₂.

RATIONALE:









Due to the high geologic activities in the Southern California area and the expected higher level of performance on buildings and structures, this proposed local amendment increase the length and limits the location where shear walls sheathed with lath, plaster or gypsum board are used in multi-level buildings. In addition, shear walls sheathed with other materials are prohibited in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁ and D₂ to be consistent with the design limitation for similar shear walls found in the California Building Code. The poor performance of such shear walls in the 1994 Northridge Earthquake was investigated by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Task Force and formed the basis for this proposed amendment. Considering that shear walls sheathed with lath, plaster or gypsum board are less ductile than steel moment frames or wood structural panel shear walls, the cities and county of the Los Angeles region has taken the necessary measures to limit the potential structural damage that may be caused by the use of such walls at the lower level of multi-level building that are subject to higher levels of seismic loads.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to increase the length and limit the location where shear walls sheathed with lath, plaster or gypsum board are used will help to ensure that multi-level building will reach its performance objective in resisting higher levels of seismic loads and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.






U. Table R602.10.4 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

**TABLE R602.10.4
BRACING METHODS 1**

METHODS, MATERIAL		MINIMUM THICKNESS	FIGURE	CONNECTION CRITERIA ^a	
				Fasteners	Spacing
Intermittent Bracing Methods	LIB Let-in-bracing	1 × 4 wood or approved metal straps at 45° to 60° angles for maximum 16" stud spacing		Wood: 2-8d common nails or 3-8d (2 1/2" long × 0.113" dia.) nails Metal strap: per manufacturer	Wood: per stud and top and bottom plates Metal: per manufacturer
	DWB Diagonal wood boards	3/4" (1" nominal) for maximum 24" stud spacing		2-8d (2 1/2" long × 0.113" dia.) nails or 2 - 1 1/4" long staples	Per stud
	WSP Wood structural panel (See Section R604)	3/4" 15/32"	8d common (2 1/2" × 0.131") nails 3/8" edge distance to panel edge	Exterior sheathing per Table R602.3(3)	6" edges 12" field
	RV-WSP Wood structural panels with stone or masonry veneer (See Section R602.10.6.5)	7/16"	See Figure R602.10.6.5	8d common (2 1/2" × 0.131") nails	Varies by fastener 6" edges 12" field
	SFB Structural fiberboard sheathing	1/2" or 5/8" for maximum 16" stud spacing		1 1/2" long × 0.12" dia. (for 1/2" thick sheathing) 1 7/8" long × 0.12" dia. (for 5/8" thick sheathing) galvanized roofing nails	3" edges 6" field
	GB Gypsum board	1/2"		Nails or screws per Table R602.3(1) for exterior locations Nails or screws per Table R702.3.5 for interior locations	For all braced wall panel locations: 7" edges (including top and bottom plates) 7" field
	PBS Particleboard sheathing (See Section R605)	3/8" or 1/2" for maximum 16" stud spacing		For 3/8", 6d common (2" long × 0.113" dia.) nails For 1/2", 8d common (2 1/2" long × 0.131" dia.) nails	3" edges 6" field
	PCP Portland cement plaster	See Section R703.7 for maximum 16" stud spacing		1 1/2" long, 11 gage, 7/16" dia. head nails or 7/8" long, 16 gage staples	6" o.c. on all framing members
	HPS Hardboard panel siding	7/16" for maximum 16" stud spacing		0.092" dia., 0.225" dia. head nails with length to accommodate 1 1/2" penetration into studs	4" edges 8" field
	ABW Alternate braced wall	3/8"		See Section R602.10.6.1	See Section R602.10.6.1

(continued)

TABLE R602.10.4—continued
BRACING METHODS¹

METHODS, MATERIAL		MINIMUM THICKNESS	FIGURE	CONNECTION CRITERIA ^a	
				Fasteners	Spacing
Intermittent Bracing Methods	PFH Portal frame with hold-downs	$\frac{3}{8}$ "		See Section R602.10.6.2	See Section R602.10.6.2
	PFG Portal frame at garage	$\frac{7}{16}$ "		See Section R602.10.6.3	See Section R602.10.6.3
Continuous Sheathing Methods	CS-WSP Continuously sheathed wood structural panel	$\frac{3}{8}$ " <u>15/32"</u>	<u>8d common (2 1/2" x 0.131) nails</u> <u>3/8" edge distance to panel edge</u>	<u>Exterior sheathing per Table R602.3(3)</u> <u>Interior sheathing per Table R602.3(1) or R602.3(2)</u>	6" edges 12" field Varies by fastener 6" edges 12" field
	CS-G^{b,c} Continuously sheathed wood structural panel adjacent to garage openings	$\frac{3}{8}$ " <u>15/32"</u>		See Method CS-WSP	See Method CS-WSP
	CS-PF Continuously sheathed portal frame	$\frac{7}{16}$ " <u>15/32"</u>		See Section R602.10.6.4	See Section R602.10.6.4
	CS-SFB^{d,e} Continuously sheathed structural fiberboard	$\frac{1}{2}$ " or $\frac{5}{8}$ " for maximum 16" stud spacing		$1\frac{1}{2}$ " long x 0.12" dia. (for $\frac{1}{2}$ " thick sheathing) $1\frac{3}{4}$ " long x 0.12" dia. (for $\frac{5}{8}$ " thick sheathing) galvanized roofing nails	3" edges 6" field

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 degree = 0.0175 rad, 1 pound per square foot = 47.8 N/m², 1 mile per hour = 0.447 m/s.

- Adhesive attachment of wall sheathing, including Method GB, shall not be permitted in Seismic Design Categories C, D₀, D₁ and D₂.
- Applies to panels next to garage door opening where supporting gable end wall or roof load only. Shall only be used on one wall of the garage. In Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁ and D₂, roof covering dead load shall not exceed 3 psf.
- Garage openings adjacent to a Method CS-G panel shall be provided with a header in accordance with Table R602.5(1). A full-height clear opening shall not be permitted adjacent to a Method CS-G panel.
- Method CS-SFB does not apply in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁ and D₂.
- Method applies to detached one- and two-family dwellings in Seismic Design Categories D₀ through D₂ only.
- Methods GB and PCP braced wall panel h/w ratio shall not exceed 1:1 in SDC D₀, D₁, or D₂. Methods LIB, DWB, SFB, PBS, HPS, and PFG are not permitted in SDC D₀, D₁, or D₂.
- Use of staples in braced wall panels shall be prohibited in SDC D₀, D₁, or D₂.

RATIONALE:

3/8" thick 3 ply-plywood shear walls experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8" thick 3-ply plywood during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness, nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

In September 2007, limited cyclic testing was conducted by a private engineering firm to determine if wood structural panels fastened with staples would exhibit the same behavior as the wood structural panels fastened with common nails. The test result revealed that wood structural panel fastened with staples appeared to be much lower in strength and stiffness than wood structural panels fastened with common nails. It was recommended that the use of staples as fasteners for wood structural panel shear walls or diaphragms not be permitted to resist seismic forces in structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁ and D₂ unless it can be substantiated by cyclic testing.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to place design and construction limits on stapled nail fasteners used in wood structural panel shear walls not substantiated with cyclic testing and requiring minimum sheathing thickness and nailing type and size will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

V. Table R602.10.5 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

TABLE R602.10.5
MINIMUM LENGTH OF BRACED WALL PANELS

METHOD (See Table R602.10.4)		MINIMUM LENGTH* (inches)					CONTRIBUTING LENGTH (inches)
		Wall Height					
		8 feet	9 feet	10 feet	11 feet	12 feet	
DWB, WSP, SFB, PBS, PCP, HPS, BV-WSP		48	48	48	53	58	Actual ^b
GB		48	48	48	53	58	Double sided = Actual Single sided = 0.5 × Actual
LIB		55	62	69	NP	NP	Actual ^b
ABW	SDC A, B and C, ultimate design wind speed < 140 mph	28	32	34	38	42	48
	SDC D ₀ , D ₁ and D ₂ , ultimate design wind speed < 140 mph	32	32	34	NP	NP	
CS-G		24	27	30	33	36	Actual ^b
CS-WSP, CS-SFB	Adjacent clear opening height (inches)						Actual ^b
	≤ 64	24	27	30	33	36	
	68	26	27	30	33	36	
	72	27	27	30	33	36	
	76	30	29	30	33	36	
	80	32	30	30	33	36	
	84	35	32	32	33	36	
	88	38	35	33	33	36	
	92	43	37	35	35	36	
	96	48	41	38	36	36	
	100	—	44	40	38	38	
	104	—	49	43	40	39	
	108	—	54	46	43	41	
	112	—	—	50	45	43	
	116	—	—	55	48	45	
	120	—	—	60	52	48	
	124	—	—	—	56	51	
	128	—	—	—	61	54	
	132	—	—	—	66	58	
	136	—	—	—	—	62	
	140	—	—	—	—	66	
	144	—	—	—	—	72	
METHOD (See Table R602.10.4)		Portal header height					
		8 feet	9 feet	10 feet	11 feet	12 feet	
PFH	Supporting roof only	46 <u>24</u>	46 <u>24</u>	46 <u>24</u>	Note c	Note c	48
	Supporting one story and roof	24	24	24	Note c	Note c	
PFG		24	27	30	Note d	Note d	1.5 × Actual ^b
CS-PF	SDC A, B and C	16	18	20	Note e	Note e	1.5 × Actual ^b
	SDC D ₀ , D ₁ and D ₂	46 <u>24</u>	48 <u>24</u>	20 <u>24</u>	Note e	Note e	Actual ^b

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm, 1 mile per hour = 0.447 m/s.

NP = Not Permitted.

- a. Linear interpolation shall be permitted.
- b. Use the actual length where it is greater than or equal to the minimum length.
- c. Maximum header height for PFH is 10 feet in accordance with Figure R602.10.6.2, but wall height shall be permitted to be increased to 12 feet with pony wall.
- d. Maximum header height for PFG is 10 feet in accordance with Figure R602.10.6.3, but wall height shall be permitted to be increased to 12 feet with pony wall.
- e. Maximum header height for CS-PF is 10 feet in accordance with Figure R602.10.6.4, but wall height shall be permitted to be increased to 12 feet with pony wall.

RATIONALE:

It was observed by the Structural Engineer Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Task Force that high aspect ratio shear walls experienced many failures during the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment provides a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment is consistent with an amendment adopted during the previous code adoption cycle for the California Residential Code.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification ensures that the structural integrity with respect to “maximum shear wall aspect ratios” is maintained, therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

W. Figure R602.10.6.1 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:




FIGURE R602.10.6.1
METHOD ABW—ALTERNATE BRACED WALL PANEL


RATIONALE:

3/8" thick 3 ply-plywood shear walls experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8" thick 3-ply plywood during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness, nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification requiring minimum sheathing thickness and nailing type and size will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

X. Figure R602.10.6.2 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:



For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

FIGURE R602.10.6.2
METHOD PFH—PORTAL FRAME WITH HOLD-DOWNS
AT DETACHED GARAGE DOOR OPENINGS

RATIONALE:


3/8" thick 3 ply-plywood shear walls experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8" thick 3-ply plywood during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness, nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform

standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. The proposed modification requiring minimum sheathing thickness and nailing type and size will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

Y. Figure R602.10.6.4 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:



For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

FIGURE R602.10.6.4
METHOD CS-PF—CONTINUOUSLY SHEATHED PORTAL FRAME PANEL CONSTRUCTION

RATIONALE:

3/8" thick 3 ply-plywood shear walls experienced many failures during the Northridge Earthquake. Box nails were observed to cause massive and multiple failures of the typical 3/8" thick 3-ply plywood during the Northridge Earthquake. This proposed amendment specifies minimum sheathing thickness, nail size and spacing so as to provide a uniform standard of construction for designers and buildings to follow. This is intended to improve the performance level of buildings and structures that are subject to the higher seismic

demands and reduce and limit potential damages to property. This proposed amendment reflects the recommendations by the Structural Engineers Association of Southern California (SEAOSC) and the Los Angeles City Joint Task Force that investigated the poor performance observed in 1994 Northridge Earthquake.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. The proposed modification requiring minimum sheathing thickness and nailing type and size will help to maintain minimum quality of construction and performance standards of structures and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

Z. Section R606.4.4 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R606.4.4 Parapet walls. ~~Unreinforced solid masonry parapet walls shall not be less than 8 inches (203 mm) thick and their height shall not exceed four times their thickness. Unreinforced hollow unit masonry parapet walls shall be not less than 8 inches (203 mm) thick, and their height shall not exceed three times their thickness. Masonry parapet walls in areas subject to wind loads of 30 pounds per square foot (1.44 kPa) or located Used in Seismic Design Category D₀, D₁ or D₂, or on townhouses in Seismic Design Category C shall be reinforced in accordance with Section R606.12.~~

RATIONALE:

The addition of the word "Used" will prevent the use of unreinforced parapets in Seismic Design Categories D₀, D₁, D₂ or F.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to not allow the use of unreinforced masonry is intended to prevent non-ductile failures and sudden structural collapses and therefore needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

AA. Section R606.12.2.2.3 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R606.12.2.2.3 Reinforcement requirements for masonry elements. Masonry elements listed in Section R606.12.2.2 shall be reinforced in either the horizontal or vertical direction as shown in Figure R606.11(2) R606.11(3) and in accordance with the following:

1. Horizontal reinforcement. Horizontal joint reinforcement shall consist of ~~at least two longitudinal W1.7 wires spaced not more than 16 inches (406 mm) for walls greater than 4 inches (102 mm) in width and at least one longitudinal W1.7 wire spaced not more than 16 inches (406 mm) for walls not exceeding 4 inches (102 mm) in width; or at least one No. 4 bar spaced not more than 48 inches (1219 mm). Where two longitudinal wires of joint reinforcement are used, the space between these wires shall be the widest that the mortar joint will accommodate.~~ Horizontal reinforcement shall be provided within 16 inches (406 mm) of the top and bottom of these masonry elements.
2. Vertical reinforcement. Vertical reinforcement shall consist of at least one No. 4 bar spaced not more than 48 inches (1219 mm). Vertical reinforcement shall be within ~~16~~ inches (~~406~~203 mm) of the ends of masonry walls.

RATIONALE:

Reinforcement using longitudinal wires for buildings and structures located in high seismic areas are deficient and not as ductile as deformed rebar. Having vertical reinforcement closer to the ends of masonry walls help to improve the seismic performance of masonry buildings and structures.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to increase reinforcements will ensure that the ductility requirements for buildings in high seismic region meet the intent of the code and limit potential property damages and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

- BB. Section R803.2.4 is added to Chapter 8 of the California Residential Code to read as follows:

R803.2.4 Openings in horizontal diaphragms. Openings in horizontal diaphragms shall conform with Section R503.2.4.

RATIONALE:

Reinforcement using longitudinal wires for buildings and structures located in high seismic areas are deficient and not as ductile as deformed rebar. Having vertical reinforcement

closer to the ends of masonry walls help to improve the seismic performance of masonry buildings and structures.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the recent 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. The proposed modification to increase reinforcements will ensure that the ductility requirements for buildings in high seismic region meet the intent of the code and limit potential property damages and therefore need to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Residential Code.

CC. Section R902.1.3 of the California Residential Code is amended to read as follows:

R902.1.3 Roof coverings within all other areas. The entire roof covering of every existing structure where more than 50 percent of the total roof is replaced within any one-year period, the entire roof covering of every new structure and any roof covering applied in the alteration, repair or replacement of the roof of every existing structure shall be a fire-retardant roof covering that is at least a Class ~~C~~ "B" Fire Rated assembly.

RATIONALE:

Requirements for roofing materials to have a Class-B fire rating for both new roof and re-roofing applications shall be Class-B rating This is consistent with the recommendations of the Los Angeles County Fire Department, Cal Fire and The Insurance Institute the on roofing fire safety. Roofs with a Class-B fire rating have proven more effective in resisting ignition from flying embers than a "C" rating.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated and dense industrial area. The high density can allow fire to spread quickly from roof to roof. Gardena is located in a special wind zone as defined by the California Building Code. The jurisdiction of Gardena is located in a semi-arid Mediterranean type climate. It annually experiences extended periods of high temperatures with little or no precipitation. Hot, dry (Santa Ana) winds, which may reach speeds of 110 M.P.H. or greater.

DD. Section R905.3.1 of the California Building Code is amended to read as follows:

R905.3.1 Deck requirements. Concrete and clay tile shall be installed only over solid sheathing ~~or spaced structural sheathing boards~~.

Exception: ~~Spaced lumber shall be permitted in Seismic Design Categories A, B, and C.~~

RATIONALE:

Section R905.3.1 is amended to require concrete and clay tiles to be installed only over solid sheathing. The change is necessary because there were numerous observations of tile roofs pulling away from wood framed buildings following the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. The SEAOSC/LA City Post Northridge Earthquake committee findings indicated significant problems with tile roofs was due to inadequate design and/or construction. Therefore, the amendment is needed to minimize such occurrences in the event of future significant earthquakes.

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed over and near a vast array of fault systems capable of producing major earthquakes, including but not limited to the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, the 1987 Whittier Narrows Earthquake, the 1971 San Fernando Earthquake and the 1933 Long Beach Earthquake. Gardena is in Seismic Zones D, E, and F. This amendment will reduce the failure of concrete and clay tile roofs during a significant earthquake and is in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Building Code.

- EE. Chapter 10, Chimneys and Fireplaces, of the California Residential Code is deleted and a new Chapter 10 is added to read as follows:

In accordance with SCAQMD's Rule 445:

Beginning March 9, 2009, permanent indoor and outdoor wood-burning devices (such as fireplaces and stoves) cannot be installed in new developments. However, open-hearth fireplaces with gas or alcohol fuel based log sets or other popular design features that do not use wood -- such as flames in river rock or broken glass -- are allowed.

As of September 2008, permanent indoor and outdoor wood-burning devices can only be sold or installed in existing homes and businesses if it meets one of these cleaner options:

Dedicated gaseous-fueled fireplace;
U.S. EPA certified fireplace insert or stove;
Pellet-fueled wood-burning heater; or
Masonry heater (not an open-hearth wood-burning fireplace).

RATIONALE:

SCAQMD's Rule 445 overrides the CA Building Code

FINDINGS:

Local Geological Conditions –To be in conformance with the SCAQMD

Section A0103.3 of Appendix O of the California Residential Code is added to

- FF. Section A0103.3 of Appendix O of the California Residential Code is added to read as follows:

A0103.3 Vehicular gates or other barriers across required fire apparatus access roads. The installation of gates or other barriers across a required fire apparatus access road shall comply with the requirements set forth in the 2019 California Fire Code Section 503.6 or the Latest edition of the Los Angeles County Fire Code and have approved key boxes.

RATIONALE:

Aline Code sections, to make the sections consistent

FINDINGS:

Aline Code sections, to make the sections consistent

- GG. The definition of "Private Pool" in Section AV100.1 of Appendix V of the California Residential Code is added to read as follows:

PRIVATE POOL. is any constructed pool, permanent or portable, and over 18 inches deep which is intended for non-commercial use as swimming pool by not more than three owner families and their guests.

RATIONALE:

Definitions in Model code deficient

FINDINGS:

Definitions in Model code deficient

- HH. Section AV100.3 is amended by adding a section 6 to read as follows:

6. If such lot or premises upon which such pool is located is vacant or unoccupied, all gates or doors opening into the area where such pool is located shall be kept securely locked at all times during such vacancy or non-occupancy, whether such pool is empty or filled.

15.04.050 [Repealed]

15.04.060 Amendment of Part 11, California Building Standards Code

- A. Section 4.106.4.2 of the California Green Building Standards Code is amended to read as follows:

4.106.4.2 New multifamily dwellings. If residential parking is available, ~~ten (10)~~ twenty-five (25) percent of the total number of parking spaces on a building site,

provided for all types of parking facilities, shall be electric vehicle charging spaces (EV spaces) capable of supporting future EVSE and five (5) percent of the total number of parking spaces on a building site, provided for all types of parking facilities, shall be electric vehicle charging stations (EVCS). Calculations for the required number of EV spaces and EVCS shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number.

Notes:

1. Construction documents are intended to demonstrate the project's capability and capacity for facilitating future EV charging.
2. There is no requirement for EV spaces to be constructed or available until EV chargers are installed for use.

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment requires a certain percentage of parking stalls to be provided with EV charging space capable of supporting future EVSE and EV charging station. Increasing the number of EV charging space or station will allow both the community and residents to benefit from reduced local air and noise pollution, combat climate change, and improve their health and lifestyle.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed within heavily traveled traffic corridors and highways, near and within the proximity of airports and/or ports, near the ocean, and within flood prone areas. This impacts the quality of the air, causes higher decibel noise level, and increases the risk of rising sea or flood levels. The proposed modification to increase the number of EV charging space and station will help to address and significantly reduce local air and noise pollutions, greenhouse gas emissions, and will improve the health and welfare of the region's residents, businesses and visitors and reduce the rise in sea or flood levels that could put at risk the region's homes and businesses, public facilities, airports and/or ports. Therefore, this amendment needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Green Building Standards Code.

- B. Section 4.106.4.3, Section 4.106.4.3.1 and Table 4.106.4.3.1 of the California Green Building Standards Code are amended to read as follows:

4.106.4.3 New hotels and motels. All newly constructed hotels and motels shall provide EV spaces capable of supporting future installation of EVSE and EVCS. The construction documents shall identify the location of the EV spaces and EVCS.

Notes:

1. Construction documents are intended to demonstrate the project's capability and capacity for facilitating future EV charging.

2. There is no requirement for EV spaces to be constructed or available until EV chargers are installed for use.

4.106.4.3.1 Number of required EV spaces and EVCS. The number of required EV spaces and EVCS shall be based on the total number of parking spaces provided for all type of parking facilities in accordance with Table 4.106.4.3.1. Calculation for the required number of EV spaces and EVCS shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 4.106.4.3.1

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES	NUMBER OF REQUIRED EV SPACES	NUMBER OF REQUIRED EVCS
0-9	0	0
10-25	1 3	1
26-50	2 7	2
51-75	4 13	3
76-100	5 19	4
101-150	7 26	6
151-200	10 38	8
201 and over	6 25 percent of total	5 percent of total

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment requires a certain percentage of parking stalls to be provided with EV charging space capable of supporting future EVSE and EV charging station. Increasing the number of EV charging space or station will allow both the community and residents to benefit from reduced local air and noise pollution, combat climate change, and improve their health and lifestyle.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed within heavily traveled traffic corridors and highways, near and within the proximity of airports and/or ports, near the ocean, and within flood prone areas. This impacts the quality of the air, causes higher decibel noise level, and increases the risk of rising sea or flood levels. The proposed modification to increase the number of EV charging space and station will help to address and significantly reduce local air and noise pollutions, greenhouse gas emissions, and will improve the health and welfare of the region's residents, businesses and visitors and reduce the rise in sea or flood levels that could put at risk the region's homes and businesses, public facilities, airports and/or ports. Therefore, this amendment needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Green Building Standards Code.

- C. Section A4.106.5, Table A4.106.5.1(1), Table A4.106.5.1(2), Table A4.106.5.1(3), and Table A4.106.5.1(4) are added to the California Green Building Standards Code to read as follows:

A4.106.5 Cool roof for reduction of heat island effect. All roofing materials for buildings in Gardena shall comply with this section:

Exceptions:

1. Roof constructions that have a thermal mass over the roof membrane including areas of vegetated (green) roofs, weighing at least 25 lbs/sf.
2. Roof areas covered by building integrated solar photovoltaic panels and building integrated solar thermal panels.

TABLE A4.106.5.1(1)
TIER 1 - LOW-RISE RESIDENTIAL

ROOF SLOPE	CLIMATE ZONE	MINIMUM 3-YEAR AGED SOLAR REFLECTANCE	THERMAL EMITTANCE	SRI
≤ 2:12	13 & 15	0.63	0.75	75
> 2:12	10 - 15	0.20	0.75	16

TABLE A4.106.5.1(2)
TIER 2 - LOW-RISE RESIDENTIAL

ROOF SLOPE	CLIMATE ZONE	MINIMUM 3-YEAR AGED SOLAR REFLECTANCE	THERMAL EMITTANCE	SRI
≤ 2:12	2, 4, 6 - 15	0.65 0.68	85	78 82
> 2:12	2, 4, 6 - 15	0.23 0.28	85	20 27

TABLE A4.106.5.1(3)
TIER 1 – HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS, HOTELS, AND MOTELS

ROOF SLOPE	CLIMATE ZONE	MINIMUM 3-YEAR AGED SOLAR REFLECTANCE	THERMAL EMITTANCE	SRI
≤ 2:12	9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15	0.550.63	0.75	6475
> 2:12	2 – 15	0.20	0.75	16

TABLE A4.106.5.1(4)
TIER 2 – HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS, HOTELS, AND MOTELS

ROOF SLOPE	CLIMATE ZONE	MINIMUM 3-YEAR AGED SOLAR REFLECTANCE	THERMAL EMITTANCE	SRI
≤ 2:12	2 – 15	0.650.68	0.750.85	7882
> 2:12	2 – 15	0.230.28	0.750.85	2027

The Balance of the section remains unaltered.

RATIONALE:

Rated roofs lower urban air temperatures by reducing the amount of heat transferred from roofs to the air, mitigating the urban heat island effect.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed in a tight area. This creates a heat sink. In Gardena, based on the moderate climate more cooling days are required than heating days.

- D. Section 5.106.5.3.3 and Table 5.106.5.3.3 of the California Green Building Standards Code are amended to read as follows:

5.106.5.3.3 EV charging space and charging station calculation. (N) Table 5.106.5.3.3 shall be used to determine if single or multiple charging space requirements apply for the future installation of EVSE and EVCS. Calculations for the required number of EV charging spaces and EVCS shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number.

Exceptions: On a case-by-case basis where the local enforcing agency has determined EV charging and infrastructure is not feasible based upon one or more of the following conditions:

1. Where there is insufficient electrical supply.
2. Where there is evidence suitable to the local enforcing agency substantiating that additional local utility infrastructure design requirements, directly related to the implementation of Section 5.106.5.3, may adversely impact the construction cost of the project.

TABLE 5.106.5.3.3

TOTAL NUMBER OF ACTUAL PARKING SPACES	NUMBER OF REQUIRED EV CHARGING SPACES	<u>NUMBER OF REQUIRED EVCS</u>
0-9	0	<u>0</u>
10-25	4 3	<u>1</u>
26-50	2 7	<u>2</u>
51-75	4 13	<u>3</u>
76-100	5 19	<u>4</u>
101-150	7 26	<u>6</u>
151-200	10 38	<u>8</u>
201 and over	6 <u>25</u> percent of total 4	<u>5 percent of total</u>

~~1. Calculation for spaces shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number.~~

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment requires a certain percentage of parking stalls to be provided with EV charging space capable of supporting future EVSE and EV charging station. Increasing the number of EV charging space or station will allow both the community and residents to benefit from reduced local air and noise pollution, combat climate change, and improve their health and lifestyle.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is a densely populated area having buildings and structures constructed within heavily traveled traffic corridors and highways, near and within the proximity of airports and/or ports, near the ocean, and within flood prone areas. This impacts the quality of the air, causes higher decibel noise level, and increases the risk of rising sea or flood levels. The proposed modification to increase the number of EV charging space and station will help to address and significantly reduce local air and noise pollutions, greenhouse gas emissions, and will improve the health and welfare of the region's residents, businesses and visitors and reduce the rise in sea or flood levels that could put at risk the region's homes and businesses, public facilities, airports and/or ports.

Therefore, this amendment needs to be incorporated into the code to assure that new buildings and structures and additions or alterations to existing buildings or structures are designed and constructed in accordance with the scope and objectives of the California Green Building Standards Code.

- E. Section A4.303.3 is added to the California Green Building Standards Code to read as follows:

A4.303.4 Non-water supplied urinals. Non-water supplied urinals maybe installed, comply with Sections 1101.1 thru 1101.8 of the California Civil Code, whichever is the most restrictive. Where approved, hybrid urinals, as defined in Chapter 2, shall be considered waterless urinals.

RATIONALE:

The California Plumbing Code does not currently allow for **Non-water supplied urinals**. But the CA Division of the State Architect, through the Interpretation of Regulations Document IR-P-1, does. California is in and out of Drought conditions Non-water supplied urinals saves a large amount of water, a state goal.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is using this as one of the state required water usage controls.

- F. Section A4.407.1 of the California Green Building Standards Code is added as follows:

A4.407.1 Drainage around foundations. Install foundation and landscape drains which discharge to a dry well, sump, bioswale or other approved on-site location.

RATIONALE:

This proposed amendment requires drains, when installed, to be diverted from flowing, unfiltered, into the Stormwater system.

FINDINGS:

Local Climatic Condition – Gardena is using this as one of the state required storm water drainage system controls.

SECTION 2. The Community Development Department shall file copies of Resolution No.6424 and Ordinance 1815 with the California Building Standards Commission as required by Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this resolution; shall cause the same to be entered among the original resolutions of said City; and shall make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the City Council of said City in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be effective immediately.

Passed, approved, and adopted this 11th day of February, 2020.




TASHA CERDA, Mayor

ATTEST:



MINA SEMENZA
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



CARMEN VASQUEZ, City Attorney

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) SS:
CITY OF GARDENA)

I, **MINA SEMENZA**, City Clerk of the City of Gardena, do hereby certify that the whole number of members of the City Council of said City is five; that the foregoing Resolution, being **Resolution No. 6424** duly passed and adopted by the City Council of said City of Gardena, approved and signed by the Mayor of said City, and attested by the City Clerk, all at a regular meeting of said City Council held on the **11th day of February, 2020**, and that the same was so passed and adopted by the following roll call vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS TANAKA AND MEDINA, MAYOR PRO TEM KASKANIAN,
 COUNCIL MEMBER HENDERSON AND MAYOR CERDA

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

Becky Romero
City Clerk of the City of Gardena, California

(SEAL)